

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : A61K 39/00, C07H 21/02, C07K 1/00, 16/00, C12N 5/00, 9/00, 15/00, 15/09, G01N 33/53	A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/62804 (43) International Publication Date: 26 October 2000 (26.10.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/10198 (22) International Filing Date: 13 April 2000 (13.04.00) (30) Priority Data: 09/292,437 15 April 1999 (15.04.99) US (71) Applicant: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA [US/US]; 1111 Franklin Street, Oakland, CA 94607 (US). (72) Inventors: SCHNEEWIND, Olaf; 11050 Strathmore Drive #422, Los Angeles, CA 90024 (US). MAZMANIAN, Sarkis; 4401 North Sepulveda Boulevard #310, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 (US). LIU, Gwen; 25 Anjou, Newport Coast, CA 92657 (US). TON-THAT, Hung; 202 Baltimore Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91754 (US). (74) Agents: FARBER, Michael, B. et al.; Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP, Suite 3800, 2029 Century Park East, Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024 (US).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With declaration under Article 17(2)(a); without abstract; title not checked by the International Searching Authority.</i>
(54) Title: IDENTIFICATION OF SORTASE GENE		

Best Available Copy

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

IDENTIFICATION OF SORTASE GENE

GOVERNMENT RIGHTS

This invention was made with Government support under Grant No. AI39987,
5 awarded by the National Institutes of Health. The government has certain rights in this invention.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention is directed to an enzyme from Gram-positive bacteria,
designated sortase-transamidase, nucleic acid segments encoding the enzyme, and methods of
10 use of the enzyme.

Human infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria present a medical challenge due to the dramatic increase in multiple antibiotic resistance strains in recent years. Gram-positive bacteria that can cause serious or fatal infections in humans include *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Pneumococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Actinomyces*,
15 *Mycobacterium*, and *Listeria*, as well as others. Infections caused by these pathogens are particularly severe and difficult to treat in immunologically compromised patients. These include patients suffering from infection with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), the virus that causes AIDS, as well as patients given immune-suppressive agents for treatment of cancer or autoimmune diseases. In particular, infections caused by various *Mycobacterium*
20 species, including *M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis*, *M. avium*, and *M. intracellulare*, are frequently the cause of disease in patients with AIDS.

Therefore, it is apparent that new target sites for bacterial chemotherapy are needed if such pathogenic organisms are to be controlled.

A unique characteristic of these pathogens and many Gram-positive bacteria is
25 their surface display of proteins anchored to the cell wall. In fact, many of these molecules are known to be involved in essential cellular functions, including pathogenesis in a susceptible host. Thus, a possible disruption in this anchoring process may prove to be an effective treatment against these disease-causing elements.

The anchoring of surface molecules to the cell wall in Gram-positive bacteria
30 has been demonstrated to involve a conserved pathway, culminating in recognition of a conserved cleavage/anchoring site by some previously uncharacterized cellular machinery. Molecules whose ultimate location is the cell wall must invariably be translocated across the

single cellular membrane of these organisms. This is mediated for all cell wall anchored proteins by the well studied secretory pathway, involving cleavage of an amino-terminal signal peptide by a type I signal peptidase. Upon translocation of the molecule out of the cytoplasm, a mechanism must be present that extracellularly recognizes this protein as a substrate for anchoring. This process has been previously shown to involve the carboxyl-terminally located cell wall sorting signal, consisting of a highly conserved motif such as LPXTG (SEQ ID NO:1), in which X can represent any of the twenty naturally occurring L-amino acids, followed by a series of hydrophobic residues and ultimately a sequence of positively-charged residues. Thus, once amino-terminally modified and successfully secreted, a polypeptide with this carboxyl-terminal sequence can present itself as a substrate to be processed by the anchoring machinery. At this time, cleavage of the sorting signal after the threonine residue is coupled with covalent linkage of the remainder of the polypeptide to the free amino group of the pentaglycine crossbridge in the cell wall.

It is this transpeptidation reaction that anchors mature surface proteins to the peptidoglycan layer, from which point the molecules can serve their biological functions. Therefore, there is a need to isolate and purify the enzyme that catalyzes this reaction. There is also a need to identify the gene encoding such an enzyme in order that the enzyme can be produced by genetic engineering techniques. There is also a need to identify compounds that interfere with surface protein anchoring by inhibiting sortase.

Additionally, there is also a need to develop new methods for displaying proteins or peptides on the surfaces of bacteria. For many purposes, it is desirable to display proteins or peptides on the surfaces of bacteria so that the proteins or peptides are accessible to the surrounding solution, and can, for example, be bound by a ligand that is bound specifically by the protein or peptide. In particular, the display of proteins on the surface of bacteria is desirable for the preparation of vaccines, the linkage of molecules such as antibiotic molecules or diagnostic reagents to cells, for screening reagents such as monoclonal antibodies, and for the selection of cloned proteins by displaying the cloned proteins, then observing their reaction with specific reagents such as antibodies. One way of doing this has been with phage display (G.P. Smith, "Filamentous Fusion Phage: Novel Expression Vectors that Display Cloned Antigens on the Virion Surface," *Science* 228:1315-1316 (1985)). However, phage display is limited in its practicality, because it requires that the protein being displayed to be inserted into a coat protein of filamentous phage and retain its activity while not distorting the conformation of the coat protein, allowing functional

virions to be formed. In general, this technique is therefore limited only to small peptide and proteins.

Therefore, there is a need for a more general method of peptide and protein display.

5

SUMMARY

The present invention is directed to sortase-transamidase enzymes from Gram-positive bacteria, particularly the products of the surface protein sorting (*srtA*) gene of *Staphylococcus aureus*, and methods for their use, particularly in the areas of drug screening and peptide and protein display.

10

One aspect of the present invention is a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium, the enzyme catalyzing a reaction that covalently cross-links the carboxyl terminus of a protein having a sorting signal to the peptidoglycan of a Gram-positive bacterium, the sorting signal having a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein, wherein sorting occurs by cleavage between the fourth and fifth residues of the LPX₃X₄G motif. Typically, the Gram-positive bacterium is a species selected from the group consisting of but not limited to *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. sobrinus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, and *Listeria monocytogenes*. Preferably, the Gram-positive bacterium is *S. aureus*, and more preferably, the enzyme is the product of the *srtA* gene of *S. aureus*.

20

Preferably, the enzyme has a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons and the sorting signal further includes: (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine.

25

Preferably, the enzyme includes an amino acid sequence of: (1) M-K-K-W-T-N-R-L-M-T-I-A-G-V-V-L-I-L-V-A-A-Y-L-F-A-K-P-H-I-D-N-Y-L-H-D-K-D-K-D-E-K-I-E-Q-Y-D-K-N-V-K-E-Q-A-S-K-D-K-K-Q-Q-A-K-P-Q-I-P-K-D-K-S-K-V-A-G-Y-I-E-I-P-D-A-D-I-K-E-P-V-Y-P-G-P-A-T-P-E-Q-L-N-R-G-V-S-F-A-E-E-N-E-S-L-D-D-Q-N-I-S-I-A-G-H-T-F-I-D-R-P-N-Y-Q-F-T-N-L-K-A-A-K-K-G-S-M-V-Y-F-K-V-G-N-E-T-R-K-Y-K-M-T-S-I-R-D-V-K-P-T-D-V-G-V-L-D-E-Q-K-G-K-D-K-Q-L-T-L-I-T-C-D-D-Y-N-E-K-T-G-V-W-E-K-R-K-I-F-V-A-T-E-V-K (SEQ ID NO: 3) and (2) sequences incorporating one or

30

more conservative amino acid substitutions in SEQ ID NO:3, wherein the conservative amino acid substitutions are any of the following: (1) any of isoleucine, leucine, and valine for any other of these amino acids; (2) aspartic acid for glutamic acid and vice versa; (3) glutamine for asparagine and vice versa; and (4) serine for threonine and vice versa.

5 Another aspect of the present invention is a nucleic acid sequence encoding this enzyme. In one alternative, the nucleic acid sequence includes therein a sequence of: (1) ATGAAAAAATGGACAAATCGATTAATGACAATCGCTGGTGTGGTACTTATCCTAG TGGCAGCATATTTGTTTGCTAAACCACATATCGATAATTATCTTCACGATAAAGA TAAAGATGAAAAGATTGAACAATATGATAAAAATGTAAAAGAACAGGCGAGTA
10 AAGATAAAAAGCAGCAAGCTAAACCTCAAATTCCGAAAGATAAATCGAAAGTGG CAGGCTATATTGAAATTCCAGATGCTGATATTAAAGAACCAGTATATCCAGGACC AGCAACACCTGAACAATTAAATAGAGGTGTAAGCTTTGCAGAAGAAAATGAATC ACTAGATGATCAAAATATTTCAATTGCAGGACACACTTTCATTGACCGTCCGAAC TATCAATTTACAAATCTTAAAGCAGCCAAAAAAGGTAGTATGGTGTACTTTAAAG
15 TTGGTAATGAAACACGTAAGTATAAAATGACAAGTATAAGAGATGTTAAGCCTA CAGATGTAGGAGTTCTAGATGAACAAAAAGGTAAAGATAAACAATTAACATTAA TTACTTGTGATGATTACAATGAAAAGACAGGCGTTTGGGAAAAACGTAAAATCTT TGTAGCTACAGAAGTCAAATAA (SEQ ID NO: 2); and (2) a sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO: 2. In another alternative, the nucleic acid sequence can include a sequence
20 hybridizing with SEQ ID NO: 2 or a sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO: 2 with no greater than about a 15% mismatch under stringent conditions. Preferably, the degree of mismatch is less than about 5%; more preferably, the degree of mismatch is less than about 2%.

25 Yet another aspect of the present invention is a vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of the present invention operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

 Yet another aspect of the present invention is a host cell transfected with a vector of the present invention.

30 Another aspect of the present invention is a method for producing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme. The method comprises the steps of:

(1) culturing a host cell according to the present invention under conditions in which the host cell expresses the encoded sortase-transamidase enzyme; and

(2) purifying the expressed enzyme to produce substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

Another aspect of the present invention is a method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity. This method is important in providing a way to screen
5 for antibiotics that disrupt the sorting reaction and are likely to be effective in treating infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria.

In one alternative, the screening method comprises the steps of:

- (1) providing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme according to the present invention;
- 10 (2) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and
- (3) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

15 In another alternative, the screening method comprises the steps of:

- (1) providing an active fraction of sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium;
- (2) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and
- 20 (3) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

The active fraction of sortase-transamidase activity can be a particulate fraction from *Staphylococcus aureus* or another Gram-positive bacterium.

25 The assay for sortase-transamidase enzyme can be performed by monitoring the capture of a soluble peptide that is a substrate for the enzyme by its interaction with an affinity resin. In one alternative, the soluble peptide includes a sequence of at least six histidine residues and the affinity resin contains nickel. In another alternative, the soluble peptide includes the active site of glutathione S-transferase and the affinity resin contains
30 glutathione. In yet another alternative, the soluble peptide includes the active site of streptavidin and the affinity resin contains biotin. In still another alternative, the soluble peptide includes the active site of maltose binding protein and the affinity resin contains amylose.

Still another aspect of the present invention is an antibody specifically binding the sortase-transamidase enzyme of the present invention.

Yet another aspect of the present invention is a protein molecule comprising a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme according to the present invention
5 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column through the histidine residues added at the carboxyl-terminus.

Still another aspect of the present invention is a method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:
10 (1) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (a) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (b) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (c) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively
15 charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
(2) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) a substantially purified sortase-transamidase according to the present invention; and (iii) a
20 Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
(3) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the
25 polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

Another display method according to the present invention comprises:

(1) cloning a nucleic acid segment encoding a chimeric protein into a Gram-positive bacterium to generate a cloned chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above;
30 (2) growing the bacterium into which the nucleic acid segment has been cloned to express the cloned chimeric protein to generate a chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal; and

(3) binding the polypeptide covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a
5 ligand.

Another aspect of the present invention is a polypeptide displayed on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium by covalent linkage of an amino-acid sequence of LPX₃X₄ derived from cleavage of an LPX₃X₄G motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine,
10 serine, and threonine, the polypeptide being displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand.

Another aspect of the present invention is a covalent complex comprising:

- (1) the displayed polypeptide; and
- (2) an antigen or hapten covalently cross-linked to the polypeptide.

15 Yet another aspect of the present invention is a method for vaccination of an animal comprising the step of immunizing the animal with the displayed polypeptide to generate an immune response against the displayed polypeptide, or, alternatively, with the covalent complex to generate an immune response against the antigen or the hapten.

Still another aspect of the present invention is a method for screening for
20 expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- (1) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end as described above;
- (2) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme according to the present invention;
25 and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- (3) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is
30 displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- (4) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

Still another aspect of the present invention is a method for the diagnosis or treatment of a bacterial infection caused by a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (1) conjugating an antibiotic or a detection reagent to a protein including
5 therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above to produce a conjugate; and
- (2) introducing the conjugate to an organism infected with a Gram-positive bacterium in order to cause the conjugate to be sorted and covalently cross-linked to the cell walls of the bacterium in order to treat or diagnose the infection.

If an antibiotic is used, typically it is a penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin,
10 gentamicin, streptomycin, a cephalosporin, amikacin, kanamycin, neomycin, paromomycin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, rifampin, chloramphenicol, norfloxacin, or a derivative of these antibiotics.

Similarly, another aspect of the present invention is a conjugate comprising an antibiotic or a detection reagent covalently conjugated to a protein including therein a
15 carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above to produce a conjugate. In still another aspect of the present invention, a composition comprises the conjugate with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Another aspect of the present invention is a substantially purified protein having at least about 50% match with best alignment with the amino acid sequences of at
20 least one of the putative homologous proteins of *Streptococcus pyogenes* (SEQ. ID NO. 4), *Actinomyces naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *Enterococcus faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *Streptococcus mutans* (SEQ. ID. NO. 7) or *Bacillus subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) or *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SEQ ID NO. ____) and having sortase-transamidase activity. Preferably, the match is at least about 60% in best alignment; more preferably, the match is at
25 least about 70% in best alignment.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with reference to the following description and accompanying drawings where:

5 **Figure 1** is a diagram of the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme of the present invention.

Figure 2:

- 10 (A) is a diagrammatic representation of the primary structure of the surface protein precursor SEB-SPA490-524.
- (B) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitated [³⁵S] SEB-SPA490-52 P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature protein. SM317 and SM329 are two ts mutants that accumulate P2 as compared to wild-type staphylococci (WT).
- 15 (C) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitated [³⁵S] SEB-SPA490-52 P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature protein in SM317, SM329 and WT staphylococci following a pulse-chase analysis of SEB-SPA490-524 anchoring.
- (D) depicts Staphylococcal strains OS2 (WT), SM317 and SM329 streaked on tryptic soy agar and grown at 42°C:

20 **Figure 3:**

- (A) is a diagrammatic representation of the primary structure of SEB-MH6-CWS and its linkage to the cell wall.
- (B) depicts a mass spectroscopy profile (MALDI-MS) of solubilized and affinity purified SEB-MH6-CWS.
- 25 (C) depicts a mass spectroscopy profile (MALDI-MS) of solubilized, mutanolysin-released anchor peptides were digested with fl1 hydrolase.

Figure 4:

- 30 (A) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitated [³⁵S] SEB-SPA490-52 P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature protein in SM317, SM329 and WT staphylococci transformed with or without pGL1834

(plasmid containing the *srtA* gene cloned into pC194-mcs) following a pulse-chase analysis of SEB-SPA490-524 anchoring.

(B) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitated [35 S] SEB-SPA490-52 P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature protein from SM317 transformed with the DNA of either the mutant SM317 (pGL1898) or wild-type strain OS2 (pGL1897).

(C) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitated [35 S] SEB-SPA490-52 P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature protein from *S. aureus* OS2 (wild type), SM317 and SM329 transformed with pGL1834 and subjected to pulse-chase analysis.

Figure 5 depicts the size of DNA fragments and the position of the coding region of the *srtA* gene of *S. aureus* (SEQ ID NO: 2) sufficient for an increase in surface protein anchoring. The concentration of P2 precursor in plasmid transformants of the mutant SM317 was measured by labeling with [35 S]methionine and is indicated in percent.

Figure 6 depicts the DNA sequence of the *srtA* gene (SEQ ID NO: 2) and deduced primary structure of the SrtA protein (SEQ ID NO: 3). The NH₂-terminal hydrophobic membrane anchor sequence is boxed. A single cysteine predicted to be the active site for cleavage of cell wall sorting signals at the LPXTG motif is shaded.

Figure 7 depicts a sequence alignment comparing the predicted primary structure of the SrtA protein (Sortase) with that of homologous sequences identified by database searches. Note the conservation of a single cysteine residue as well as its surrounding sequence.

Figure 8:

(A) depicts the structure of Seb-Spa490-524 harboring an NH₂-terminal leader (signal) peptide with signal peptidase cleavage site as well as a COOH-terminally fused cell wall sorting signal consisting of the LPXTG motif, hydrophobic domain (*black box*), and positively charged tail (*boxed +*).

(B) depicts the SDS-PAGE gel analysis of pulse chase experiment where staphylococcal cultures were labeled with [35 S]methionine for 1

min and quenching all further incorporation by the addition of excess unlabeled methionine (chase). P1 precursor, P2 precursor and mature Seb-Spa490-524 were evaluated.

Figure 9:

- 5 (A) depicts a growth curve for staphylococcal growth with antibiotics added (1, open squares: mock treated; 2, open diamonds: penicillin 10 μ g/ml; 3, closed diamonds: moenomycin, 10 μ g/ml; 4, closed squares: vancomycin 10 μ g/ml).
- 10 (B) depicts a curve measuring the rate of cell wall sorting in the presence of antibiotics or mock treated as described in (A).

Figure 10:

- 5 (A) depicts the structure of Seb-Cws-BlaZ harboring an NH₂-terminal signal (leader) peptide and the sorting signal of protein A which consists of an LPXTG motif, hydrophobic (*shaded box*) and charged domains (*boxed* RRREL). The sorting signal is fused to the COOH-terminus of Seb and to the NH₂-terminus of mature BlaZ. Cleavage at the LPXTG motif produces two fragments, an NH₂-terminal cell wall anchored surface protein (Seb) and a COOH-terminal BlaZ domain that is located in the bacterial cytoplasm.
- 10 (B) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel analysis of *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-Cws-BlaZ) and *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-CwsDLPXTG-BlaZ) cell wall sorting. The arrows point to Seb species that were observed in protoplasts but not in whole cells.

15 **Figure 11** depicts a model for the transpeptidation reaction catalyzed by staphylococcal sortase.

Figure 12:

- (A) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel analysis of a pulse chance analysis of surface protein anchoring to the cell wall in the presence or absence of release of proteins fro the surface by hydroxylamine.
- 20 (B) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel analysis of a pulse chance analysis of surface protein anchoring to the cell wall in the presence or absence of release of proteins fro the surface by hydroxylamine added either 5 min prior to labeling (prior), during pulse-labeling (pulse) or 5 min after quenching to *S. aureus* OS2 cultures.
- 25 (C) depicts a bar graph indicating that increasing amounts of hydroxylamine added 5 min prior to labeling of *S. aureus* OS2 cultures caused increasing amounts of surface protein to be released.

Figure 13:

- 30 (A) depicts a Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel used to characterize surface proteins released by hydroylamine treatment.

(B) depicts an rpHPLC chromatogram of COOH-terminal anchor peptides released from *S. aureus* BB270 cells via treatment with 0.1 M NH_2OH .

5 (C) depicts an rpHPLC chromatogram of COOH-terminal anchor peptides released from *S. aureus* BB270 cells via treatment with 0.1 M NH_2OH .

Figure 14:

10 (A) is a bar graph depicting the effect of incubating staphylococcal extracts with the sorting substrate DABCYL-QALPETGEE-EDANS; peptide cleavage is indicated as an increase in fluorescence. The addition of 0.2 M NH_2OH increased peptide cleavage, whereas peptide cleavage was inhibited by the addition of methanethiosulfonate (MTSET), a known inhibitor of sortase.

15 (B) depicts an SDS-PAGE gel analysis of *E. coli* XL-1Blue (pHTT5) expressing SrtADN, in which the NH_2 -terminal membrane anchor of sortase (SrtA) has been replaced with a six histidine tag. Lane 1 contains uninduced culture; 2, 1 mM IPTG induced culture; 3, French press extract; 4, the supernatant of centrifuged French press extracts; 5, the sediment of French press extracts; 6, flow-through of affinity
20 chromatography on Ni-NTA; 7, column wash; 8-10, 1 ml fractions eluted with 0.5 M imidazol.

25 (C) is a bar graph depicting the effect of incubating purified SrtADN with the peptide substrate DABCYL-QALPETGEE-EDANS and cleavage monitored as an increase in fluorescence. The reaction was inhibited by the addition of methanethiosulfonate (MTSET) or organic mercurial (pHMB), while the addition of 0.2 M NH_2OH accelerated cleavage. MTSET-treated SrtADN could be rescued by incubation with 10 mM DTT.

DEFINITIONS

As used herein, the terms defined below have the following meanings unless otherwise indicated:

“Nucleic Acid Sequence”: the term “nucleic acid sequence” includes both
5 DNA and RNA unless otherwise specified, and, unless otherwise specified, includes both double-stranded and single-stranded nucleic acids. Also included are hybrids such as DNA-RNA hybrids. In particular, a reference to DNA includes RNA that has either the equivalent base sequence except for the substitution of uracil and RNA for thymine in DNA, or has a complementary base sequence except for the substitution of uracil for thymine,
10 complementarity being determined according to the Watson-Crick base pairing rules. Reference to nucleic acid sequences can also include modified bases as long as the modifications do not significantly interfere either with binding of a ligand such as a protein by the nucleic acid or with Watson-Crick base pairing.

“Mismatch”: as used herein the term “mismatch” includes all unpaired bases
15 when two nucleic acid sequences are hybridized with best alignment in the context of nucleic acid hybridization. In other words, the term “mismatch” includes not only situations in which the same number of bases are present in the two sequences or segments of sequences, but in which some bases do not form Watson-Crick pairs because of their sequences, but also situations in which different numbers of bases are present in the two sequences because of
20 insertions or deletions, referred to generically as “indels.” In this latter situation, certain of the bases in the longer sequence must be unpaired and may loop out from the hybrid.

“Match”: as used herein the term “match” includes all paired amino acids
when two amino acid sequences are compared with best alignment in the context in terms of protein sequence comparison. Amino acid “sequence identity” percentages include only
25 identical amino acid pairing when amino acid sequences are matched in best alignment. Amino acid “sequence similarity” percentages include both similar and identical amino acids when amino acid sequences are matched in best alignment. Similar amino acids are amino acids which share similar physical and/or chemical properties. The following is a listing of amino acids which are considered to be similar, or conservative amino acids relative to one
30 another, as substitutions of each of these amino acids for the other in a sequence often do not disrupt the structure or function of the molecule as the amino acids share similar physical and/or chemical properties. In particular, the conservative amino acid substitutions can be any of the following: (1) any of isoleucine for leucine or valine, leucine for isoleucine, and

valine for leucine or isoleucine; (2) aspartic acid for glutamic acid and glutamic acid for aspartic acid; (3) glutamine for asparagine and asparagine for glutamine; and (4) serine for threonine and threonine for serine.

Other substitutions can also be considered conservative, depending upon the environment of the particular amino acid. For example, glycine (G) and alanine (A) can frequently be interchangeable, as can be alanine and valine (V). Methionine (M), which is relatively hydrophobic, can frequently be interchanged with leucine and isoleucine, and sometimes with valine. Lysine (K) and arginine (R) are frequently interchangeable in locations in which the significant feature of the amino acid residue is its charge and the different pK's of these two amino acid residues or their different sizes are not significant. Still other changes can be considered "conservative" in particular environments. For example, if an amino acid on the surface of a protein is not involved in a hydrogen bond or salt bridge interaction with another molecule, such as another protein subunit or a ligand bound by the protein, negatively charged amino acids such as glutamic acid and aspartic acid can be substituted for by positively charged amino acids such as lysine or arginine and vice versa. Histidine (H), which is more weakly basic than arginine or lysine, and is partially charged at neutral pH, can sometimes be substituted for these more basic amino acids. Additionally, the amides glutamine (Q) and asparagine (N) can sometimes be substituted for their carboxylic acid homologues, glutamic acid and aspartic acid.

"Antibody": as used herein the term "antibody" includes both intact antibody molecules of the appropriate specificity, and antibody fragments (including Fab, F(ab'), Fv, and F(ab')₂), as well as chemically modified intact antibody molecules and antibody fragments, including hybrid antibodies assembled by in vitro reassociation of subunits. Also included are single-chain antibody molecules generally denoted by the term sFv and humanized antibodies in which some or all of the originally non-human constant regions are replaced with constant regions originally derived from human antibody sequences. Both polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies are included unless otherwise specified. Additionally included are modified antibodies or antibodies conjugated to labels or other molecules that do not block or alter the binding capacity of the antibody.

30

DESCRIPTION

A substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from Gram-positive bacteria, particularly *Staphylococcus aureus*, has been identified and purified.

The properties of this enzyme make it a logical target for antibiotic action. This enzyme also
 5 catalyzes covalent crosslinkage of proteins to the peptidoglycan of Gram-positive bacteria.

I. THE SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE ENZYME

One aspect of the invention is a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium. As used herein, the term "substantially purified" means having a specific activity of at least tenfold greater than the sortase-transamidase
 10 activity present in a crude extract, lysate, or other state from which proteins have not been removed and also in substantial isolation from proteins found in association with sortase-transamidase in the cell.

The enzyme has a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons. The enzyme catalyzes a reaction that covalently crosslinks the carboxyl-terminus of a protein having a
 15 sorting signal to the peptidoglycan of the Gram-positive bacterium. The sorting signal has: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues
 20 31-33 from the motif. In this sorting signal, X₃ can be any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids. X₄ can be alanine, serine, or threonine. Preferably, X₄ is threonine.

The sortase-transamidase is believed to occur in all Gram-positive bacteria. In particular, the enzyme exists in *Mycobacterium*, *Nocardia*, *Actinomyces*, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Listeria*, *Enterococcus*, *Bacillus*, and *Pneumococcus*. Specifically, the
 25 enzyme exists in the following species: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. sobrinus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Listeria monocytogenes*.

Preferably the enzyme is isolated from *Staphylococcus aureus*, and more preferably is a product of the *srtA* gene of *S. aureus*.

30

A. Amino Acid Sequence

The sortase-transamidase of the present invention includes therein an amino acid sequence of: M-K-K-W-T-N-R-L-M-T-I-A-G-V-V-L-I-L-V-A-A-Y-L-F-A-K-P-H-I-D-

N-Y-L-H-D-K-D-K-D-E-K-I-E-Q-Y-D-K-N-V-K-E-Q-A-S-K-D-K-K-Q-Q-A-K-P-Q-I-P-K-D-K-S-K-V-A-G-Y-I-E-I-P-D-A-D-I-K-E-P-V-Y-P-G-P-A-T-P-E-Q-L-N-R-G-V-S-F-A-E-E-N-E-S-L-D-D-Q-N-I-S-I-A-G-H-T-F-I-D-R-P-N-Y-Q-F-T-N-L-K-A-A-K-K-G-S-M-V-Y-F-K-V-G-N-E-T-R-K-Y-K-M-T-S-I-R-D-V-K-P-T-D-V-G-V-L-D-E-Q-K-G-K-D-K-Q-L-T-L-I-T-C-D-D-Y-N-E-K-T-G-V-W-E-K-R-K-I-F-V-A-T-E-V-K (SEQ ID NO: 3).

Also within the scope of the present invention are substantially purified protein molecules that are mutants of the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3 that preserve the sortase-transamidase activity. In particular, the conservative amino acid substitutions can be any of the following: (1) any of isoleucine for leucine or valine, leucine for isoleucine, and valine for leucine or isoleucine; (2) aspartic acid for glutamic acid and glutamic acid for aspartic acid; (3) glutamine for asparagine and asparagine for glutamine; and (4) serine for threonine and threonine for serine.

Other substitutions can also be considered conservative, depending upon the environment of the particular amino acid. For example, glycine (G) and alanine (A) can frequently be interchangeable, as can be alanine and valine (V). Methionine (M), which is relatively hydrophobic, can frequently be interchanged with leucine and isoleucine, and sometimes with valine. Lysine (K) and arginine (R) are frequently interchangeable in locations in which the significant feature of the amino acid residue is its charge and the different pK's of these two amino acid residues or their different sizes are not significant. Still other changes can be considered "conservative" in particular environments. For example, if an amino acid on the surface of a protein is not involved in a hydrogen bond or salt bridge interaction with another molecule, such as another protein subunit or a ligand bound by the protein, negatively charged amino acids such as glutamic acid and aspartic acid can be substituted for by positively charged amino acids such as lysine or arginine and vice versa. Histidine (H), which is more weakly basic than arginine or lysine, and is partially charged at neutral pH, can sometimes be substituted for these more basic amino acids. Additionally, the amides glutamine (Q) and asparagine (N) can sometimes be substituted for their carboxylic acid homologues, glutamic acid and aspartic acid.

The amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 3) of sortase-transamidase from *Staphylococcus aureus* has substantial homology with sequences of enzymes from other Gram-positive bacteria. There is about a 31% sequence identity (and about 44% sequence similarity) with best alignment over the entire sequenced region of the *S. pyogenes* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 4). There is about a 28% sequence identity (and about 44%

sequence similarity) with best alignment over the entire sequenced region of the *A. naeslundii* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 5). There is about a 27% sequence identity (and about 47% sequence similarity) with best alignment over the entire sequenced region of the *S. mutans* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 7). There is about a 25% sequence identity (and about 45% sequence similarity) with best alignment over the entire sequenced region of the *E. faecalis* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 6). There is about a 23% identity and about a 38% similarity between the sequence with best alignment over the entire sequenced region of the *B. subtilis* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 8). as compared with the *S. mutans* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 7), with a lower degree of sequence identity and similarity between the *B. subtilis* and *S. pyogenes* open reading frames. These matches are shown in Figure 7.

Additionally, there is about a 32% sequence identity and about a 47% sequence similarity with best alignment over the entire sequence between the *S. aureus* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO. 3) and a protein designated srtA from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34). The sequence of the srtA protein is

MSRTKLRALLGYLLMLVACLIPIYCFGQMVLQSLGQVKGHATFVKSM TTEMYQEQQ
 NHSLAYNQRLASQNRIVDPFLAEGYEVNYQVSDDPDVYGYLSIPSLEIMEPVYLG
 DYHHLGMGLAHVDGTPLPLDGTGIRSVIAGHRAEPSHVFFRHL DQLKVG DALYYDN
 GQEIVEYQMMDTEILPSEWEKLESVSSKNIMTLITCDPIPTFNKRLLVNFERVAVYQK
 SDPQTAAVARVAFTKEGQSVSRVATSQWLYRGLVVLAF LGILFVLWKLARLLRGK
 (SEQ ID NO. 34). Similarly, there is about a 30% sequence identity and about a 46% sequence similarity with best alignment over the entire sequence between the *S. aureus* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO.3) and a protein designated srtB from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 35). The sequence of the srtB protein is

MDNSRRSRKKGT KKKHPLILLIFLVGFAVAIYPLVSRYYYRISNEVIKEFDETVSQ
 MDKAELEERWRLAQAFNATLKPSEILDPFTEQEKKKG VSEYANMLKVHERIGYVEIP
 AIDQEIPMYVGTSEDILQKGAGLLEGASLPVGGENTHTVITAH RGLPTAELFSQLDKM
 KKGDI FYLHVLDQVLAYQVDQIVTVEPNDFEPVLIQHGEDYATLLTCTPYMINSHRL
 LVRGKRIPYTAPIAERNRAVRERGQFWLWLLLGAMAVILL LLYRVYRNRRIVKGLEK
 QLEGRHVKD (SEQ. ID NO. 35). Similarly, there is about a 29% sequence identity and about a 43% sequence similarity with best alignment over the entire sequence between the *S. aureus* open reading frame (SEQ. ID NO.3) and a protein designated srtC from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SEQ ID NO. 36). The sequence of the srtC protein is

MDNSRRSRKKGTKKKKHPLILLIFLVGFAVAIYPLVSRYYYRISNEVIKEFDETVSQ
 MDKAELEERWRLAQAFNATLKPSEILDPFTEQEKKKGVSEYANMLKVHERIGYVEIP
 AIDQEIPMYVGTSEDILQKGAGLLEGASLPVGGENTHTVITAHRLPTAELFSQLDKM
 KKGDI FYLHVLDQVLAYQVDQIVTVEPNDFEPVLIQHGEDYATLLTCTPYMINSHRL
 5 LVRGKRIPYTAPIAERNRAVRERGQFWLWLLLGAMAVILLLLYRVYRNRRIVKGLEK
 QLEGRHVKD (SEQ ID NO. 36).

Therefore, another aspect of the present invention is a substantially purified protein molecule that has at least a 18% sequence identity match, preferably a 20% sequence identity match, and most preferably a 30% sequence identity match with best alignment with
 10 the *S. pyogenes*, *A. naeslundii*, *S. mutans*, *E. faecalis* or *B. subtilis* open reading frame of Figure 7 and that has sortase-transamidase activity. Further, another aspect of the present invention is a substantially purified protein molecule that has at least a 30% sequence similarity match, preferably a 40% sequence similarity match, and most preferably a 50% sequence similarity match with best alignment with the *S. pyogenes*, *A. naeslundii*, *S.*
 15 *mutans*, *E. faecalis* or *B. subtilis* open reading frame of Figure 7 and that has sortase-transamidase activity.

The sortase-transamidase is a cysteine protease.

B. Activity of the Sortase-Transamidase

The activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme of the present invention is
 20 shown, in general, in Figure 1. The enzyme first cleaves a polypeptide having a sorting signal within the LPX₃X₄G motif. Cleavage occurs after residue X₄, normally a threonine; as indicated above, this residue can also be a serine or alanine residue. This residue forms a covalent intermediate with the sortase. The next step is the transamidation reaction that transfers the cleaved carboxyl terminus of the protein to be sorted to the -NH₂ of the
 25 pentaglycine crossbridge within the peptidoglycan precursor. The peptidoglycan precursor is then incorporated into the cell wall by a transglycosylase reaction with the release of undecaprenyl phosphate. The mature anchored polypeptide chains are thus linked to the pentaglycine cross bridge in the cell wall which is tethered to the ε-amino side chain of an unsubstituted cell wall tetrapeptide. A carboxypeptidase may cleave a D-Ala-D-Ala bond of
 30 the pentapeptide structure to yield the final branched anchor peptide in the staphylococcal cell wall.

The sorting signal has: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region.

In the motif, X₃ can be any of the 20 naturally-occurring L-amino acids. X₄ can be any of threonine, serine, or alanine. Preferably, X₄ is threonine (O. Schneewind et al., "Cell Wall Sorting Signals in Surface Proteins of Gram-Positive Bacteria," EMBO J. 12:4803-4811 (1993)).

Preferably, the substantially hydrophobic domain carboxyl to the motif includes no more than about 7 charged residues or residues with polar side chains. For the purposes of this specification, these residues include the following: aspartic acid, glutamic acid, lysine, and arginine as charged residues, and serine, threonine, glutamine, and asparagine as polar but uncharged residues. Preferably, the sequence includes no more than three charged residues.

Representative sequences suitable for sorting signals for use with the sortase-transamidase of the present invention include, but are not limited to the following: E-E-N-P-F-I-G-T-T-V-F-G-G-L-S-L-A-L-G-A-A-L-L-A-G (SEQ ID NO: 9), the hydrophobic domain of the staphylococcal proteinase (SPA) sorting signal from *Staphylococcus aureus*; (2) G-E-E-S-T-N-K-G-M-L-F-G-G-L-F-S-I-L-G-L-A-L-L (SEQ ID NO:10), the SNBP signal of *S. aureus*; (3) D-S-S-N-A-Y-L-P-L-L-G-L-V-S-L-T-A-G-F-S-L-L-G-L (SEQ ID NO: 11), the SPAA signal of *S. sobrinus*, (4) E-K-Q-N-V-L-L-T-V-V-G-S-L-A-A-M-L-G-L-A-G-L-G-F (SEQ ID NO:12), the PRGB signal of *Enterococcus faecalis*, (5) S-I-G-T-Y-L-F-K-I-G-S-A-A-M-I-G-A-I-G-I-Y-I-V (SEQ ID NO:13), the TEE signal of *Streptococcus pyogenes*, and (6) D-S-D-N-A-L-Y-L-L-L-G-L-L-A-V-G-T-A-M-A-L-T (SEQ ID NO:14), the INLA signal of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Other hydrophobic domains can be used as part of the sorting signal.

The third portion of the sorting signal is a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain. At least one of the two positively charged residues is arginine. The charged tail can also contain other charged amino acids, such as lysine. Preferably, the charged tail region includes two or more arginine residues. The two positively charged residues are located at residues 31-33 from the motif. Preferably, the two arginine residues are either in succession or are separated by no more than one intervening amino acid. Preferably, the charged tail is at least five amino acids long, although four is possible. Among the charged tails that can be used are the following:

(1) R-R-R-E-L (SEQ ID NO:15), from the SPA signal of *S. aureus*; (2) R-R-N-K-K-N-H-K-A (SEQ ID NO:16), from the SNBP signal of *S. aureus*; (3) R-R-K-Q-D (SEQ ID NO:17), from the SPAA signal of *S. sobrinus*; (4) K-R-R-K-E-T-K (SEQ ID NO:18), from the PRGB signal of *E. faecalis*; (5) K-R-R-K-A (SEQ ID NO:19), from the TEE signal of *S. pyogenes*;
 5 (6), K-R-R-H-V-A-K-H (SEQ ID NO:20), from the FIM sorting signal of *Actinomyces viscosus*, and (7) K-R-R-K-S (SEQ ID NO:21), from the BAC sorting signal of *Streptococcus agalactiae*; (8) K-R-K-E-E-N (SEQ ID NO:22), from the EMM signal of *Streptococcus pyogenes*.

Also usable as the charged tail portion of the sorting signal are the following
 10 sequences produced by mutagenesis from the SPA signal of *S. aureus*. These include R-R-R-E-S (SEQ ID NO: 23), R-R-R-S-L (SEQ ID NO: 24), R-R-S-E-L (SEQ ID NO: 25), R-S-R-E-L (SEQ ID NO: 26) and S-R-R-E-L (SEQ ID NO: 27). Other charged tails that are usable as part of the sorting signal can be derived from a polyserine tail, itself inactive, by replacement of one or more of the serine residues with the basic amino acid arginine. These
 15 include R-R-S-S-S (SEQ ID NO: 28), R-S-R-S-S (SEQ ID NO:29), and S-R-R-S-S (SEQ ID NO:30). Other sorting signals can also be used.

II. THE GENE ENCODING THE SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE ENZYME

A. Isolation of the Sortase-Transamidase Enzyme Gene

The gene for the sortase-transamidase enzyme in *Staphylococcus aureus*, the
 20 *srtA* gene, has been isolated. The isolation process is described in detail in the Example below; in general, this process comprises: (1) the generation of temperature-sensitive mutants through chemical mutagenesis, such as with the DNA modifying agent N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine; (2) screening for temperature-sensitive mutants; (3) screening the temperature-sensitive mutants for a block in protein sorting by the use of a construct
 25 harboring the staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) gene fused to the cell wall sorting signal of staphylococcal Protein A (SPA), to locate mutants that accumulate a precursor molecule formed by cleavage of an amino-terminal signal peptide but that is not then processed by cleavage of the carboxyl-terminal sorting signal; (4) generation of a *S. aureus* chromosomal library and complementation of the sorting defect leading to abnormal accumulation of the P2
 30 precursor; and (5) sequencing and characterization of the *S. aureus* complementing determinants.

B. Sequence of the Sortase-Transamidase Gene

The above procedure yielded the entire coding sequence for the sortase-transamidase gene, *srtA*. This sequence is:

ATGAAAAAATGGACAAATCGATTAATGACAATCGCTGGTGTGGTACTTATCCTAG
 5 TGGCAGCATATTTGTTTGCTAAACCACATATCGATAATTATCTTCACGATAAAGA
 TAAAGATGAAAAGATTGAACAATATGATAAAAATGTAAAAGAACAGGCGAGTA
 AAGATAAAAAGCAGCAAGCTAAACCTCAAATTCCGAAAGATAAATCGAAAGTGG
 CAGGCTATATTGAAATTCCAGATGCTGATATTAAAGAACCAGTATATCCAGGACC
 AGCAACACCTGAACAATTAAATAGAGGTGTAAGCTTTGCAGAAGAAAATGAATC
 10 ACTAGATGATCAAAATATTTCAATTGCAGGACACACTTTCATTGACCGTCCGAAC
 TATCAATTTACAAATCTTAAAGCAGCCAAAAAAGGTAGTATGGTGTACTTTAAAG
 TTGGTAATGAAACACGTAAGTATAAAATGACAAGTATAAGAGATGTTAAGCCTA
 CAGATGTAGGAGTTCTAGATGAACAAAAAGGTAAAGATAAACAATTAACATTAA
 TTAATTGTGATGATTACAATGAAAAGACAGGCGTTTGGGAAAAACGTAAAATCTT
 15 TGTAGCTACAGAAGTCAAATAA (SEQ ID NO: 2). The last three nucleotides, TAA, of
 this sequence are the stop codon.

Accordingly, within the scope of the present invention is a nucleic acid sequence encoding a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium. The enzyme encoded has a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons and
 20 catalyzes a reaction that covalently cross-links the carboxyl-terminus of a protein having the sorting signal described above to the peptidoglycan of a gram-positive bacterium. The nucleic acid sequence includes therein the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or a sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO: 2.

Also included within the present invention is a nucleic acid sequence encoding
 25 a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium with a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons, where the enzyme catalyzes the cross-linking reaction where the nucleic acid sequence hybridizes with at least one of: (1) the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2; (2) a sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO: 2; or (3) a sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO: 2 with no greater than about a 15% mismatch under stringent
 30 conditions. Preferably, the degree of mismatch is no greater than about 5%; most preferably the mismatch is no greater than about 2%.

Also within the present invention is a nucleic acid sequence encoding a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium with a

molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons and catalyzes the cross-linking reaction described above involving the sorting signal, where the enzyme includes therein an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: (1) M-K-K-W-T-N-R-L-M-T-I-A-G-V-V-L-I-L-V-A-A-Y-L-F-A-K-P-H-I-D-N-Y-L-H-D-K-D-K-D-E-K-I-E-Q-Y-D-K-N-V-K-E-Q-A-S-K-D-K-K-Q-Q-A-K-P-Q-I-P-K-D-K-S-K-V-A-G-Y-I-E-I-P-D-A-D-I-K-E-P-V-Y-P-G-P-A-T-P-E-Q-L-N-R-G-V-S-F-A-E-E-N-E-S-L-D-D-Q-N-I-S-I-A-G-H-T-F-I-D-R-P-N-Y-Q-F-T-N-L-K-A-A-K-K-G-S-M-V-Y-F-K-V-G-N-E-T-R-K-Y-K-M-T-S-I-R-D-V-K-P-T-D-V-G-V-L-D-E-Q-K-G-K-D-K-Q-L-T-L-I-T-C-D-D-Y-N-E-K-T-G-V-W-E-K-R-K-I-F-V-A-T-E-V-K (SEQ ID NO: 3); and (2) sequences incorporating one or more conservative amino acid substitutions in SEQ ID NO:3 wherein the conservative amino acid substitutions are any of the following: (1) any of isoleucine, leucine and valine for any other of these amino acids; (2) aspartic acid for glutamic acid and vice versa; (3) glutamine for asparagine and vice versa; and (4) serine for threonine and vice versa. Alternative nucleic acid sequences can be determined using the standard genetic code; the alternative codons are readily determinable for each amino acid in this sequence.

Construction of nucleic acid sequences according to the present invention can be accomplished by techniques well known in the art, including solid-phase nucleotide synthesis, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique, reverse transcription of DNA from RNA, the use of DNA polymerases and ligases, and other techniques. If an amino acid sequence is known, the corresponding nucleic acid sequence can be constructed according to the genetic code.

C. Vectors and Host Cells Transformed with Vectors

Another aspect of the invention is a vector comprising a nucleic acid sequence according to the present invention operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence. Such control sequences are well known in the art and include operators, promoters, enhancers, promoter-proximal elements and replication origins. The techniques of vector construction, including cloning, ligation, gap-filling, the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) procedure, solid-state oligonucleotide synthesis, and other techniques, are all well known in the art and need not be described further here.

Another aspect of the present invention is a host cell transfected with a vector according to the present invention. Among the host cells that can be used are gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Transfection, also known as transformation, is done using standard techniques appropriate to the host cell used, particularly *Staphylococcus aureus*. Such techniques are described, for example, in R.P. Novick, "Genetic Systems in Staphylococci," Meth. Enzymol. 204: 587-636 (1991), as well as in O. Schneewind et al., "Sorting of Protein A to the Staphylococcal Cell Wall," Cell 70: 267-281 (1992).

III. SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE AS A TARGET FOR ANTIBIOTIC ACTION

10 A. A Site for Antibiotic Action

The reaction carried out by the sortase-transamidase of the present invention presents a possible target for a new class of antibiotics to combat medically relevant infections caused by numerous gram-positive organisms. Because this is a novel site of antibiotic action, these antibiotics have the advantage that resistance by the bacterium has not
15 had a chance to develop.

Such antibiotics can include compounds with structures that mimic the cleavage site, such as compounds with a structure similar to methyl methanethiosulfonate or, more generally, alkyl methanethiosulfonates. The sortase-transamidase of the present invention is believed to be a cysteine protease. Other antibiotics that may inhibit the activity
20 of the sortase-transamidase in the present invention include inhibitors that would be specific for cysteine-modification in a β -lactam framework. These inhibitors can, but need not necessarily, have active moieties that would form mixed disulfides with the cysteine sulfhydryl. These active moieties could be derivatives of methanethiosulfonate, such as methanethiosulfonate ethylammonium, methanethiosulfonate ethyltrimethylammonium, or
25 methanethiosulfonate ethylsulfonate (J.A. Javitch et al., "Mapping the Binding Site Crevise of the Dopamine D2 Receptor by the Substituted-Cysteine Accessibility Method," Neuron, 14: 825-831 (1995); M.H. Akabas & A. Karlin, "Identification of Acetylcholine Receptor Channel-Lining Residues in the M1 Segment of the α -Subunit," Biochemistry 34: 12496-12500 (1995)). Similar reagents, such as alkyl alkanethiosulfonates, i.e., methyl
30 methanethiosulfonate, or alkoxycarbonylalkyl disulfides, have been described (D.J. Smith et al., "Simple Alkanethiol Groups for Temporary Blocking of Sulfhydryl Groups of Enzymes," Biochemistry 14: 766-771 (1975); W.N. Valentine & D.E. Paglia, "Effect of Chemical

Modification of Sulfhydryl Groups of Human Erythrocyte Enzymes," Am. J. Hematol. 11: 111-124 (1981)). Other useful inhibitors involve derivatives of 2-trifluoroacetylaminobenzene sulfonyl fluoride (J.C. Powers, "Proteolytic Enzymes and Their Active-Site-Specific Inhibitors: Role in the Treatment of Disease," in Modification of Proteins), in a β -lactam framework, peptidyl aldehydes and nitriles (E. Dufour et al., "Peptide Aldehydes and Nitriles as Transition State Analog Inhibitors of Cysteine Proteases," Biochemistry 34: 9136-9143 (1995); J. O. Westerik & R. Wolfenden, "Aldehydes as Inhibitors of Papain," J. Biol. Chem. 247: 8195-8197 (1972)), peptidyl diazomethyl ketones (L. Björck et al., "Bacterial Growth Blocked by a Synthetic Peptide Based on the Structure of a Human Proteinase Inhibitor," Nature 337: 385-386 (1989)), peptidyl phosphoramidates (P.A. Bartlett & C.K. Marlowe, "Phosphoramidates as Transition-State Analogue Inhibitors of Thermolysin," Biochemistry 22: 4618-4624 (1983)), phosphonate monoesters such as derivatives or analogues of *m*-carboxyphenyl phenylacetamidomethylphosphonate (R.F. Pratt, "Inhibition of a Class C β -Lactamase by a Specific Phosphonate Monoester," Science 246: 917-919 (1989)), maleimides and their derivatives, including derivatives of such bifunctional maleimides as *o*-phenylenebismaleimide, *p*-phenylenebismaleimide, *m*-phenylenebismaleimide, 2,3-naphthalenebismaleimide, 1,5-naphthalenebismaleimide, and azophenylbismaleimide, as well as monofunctional maleimides and their derivatives (J.V. Moroney et al., "The Distance Between Thiol Groups in the γ Subunit of Coupling Factor 1 Influences the Proton Permeability of Thylakoid Membranes," J. Bioenerget. Biomembr. 14: 347-359 (1982)), peptidyl halomethyl ketones (chloromethyl or fluoromethyl ketones), peptidyl sulfonium salts, peptidyl acyloxymethyl ketones, derivatives and analogues of epoxides, such as E-64 (N-[N-(L-trans-carboxyoxiran-2-carbonyl)-L-leucyl]agmatine), E-64c (a derivative of E-64 in which the agmatine moiety is replaced by an isoamylamine moiety), E-64c ethyl ester, Ep-459 (an analogue of E-64 in which the agmatine moiety is replaced by a 1,4-diaminopropyl moiety), Ep-479 (an analogue of E-64 in which the agmatine moiety is replaced by a 1,7-diheptylamino moiety), Ep-460 (a derivative of Ep-459 in which the terminal amino group is substituted with a Z (benzyloxycarbonyl) group), Ep-174 (a derivative of E-64 in which the agmatine moiety is removed, so that the molecule has a free carboxyl residue from the leucine moiety), Ep-475 (an analogue of E-64 in which the agmatine moiety is replaced with a $\text{NH}_2\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_2\text{-CH-(CH}_3\text{)}_2$ moiety), or Ep-420 (a derivative of E-64 in which the hydroxyl group is benzoylated, forming an ester, and the leucylagmatine moiety is replaced with isoleucyl-O-methyltyrosine), or peptidyl O-acyl hydroxamates (E

Shaw, "CysteinyI Proteases and Their Selective Inactivation), pp 271-347). Other inhibitors are known in the art.

Modification of other residues may also result in inhibition of the enzyme.

5 B. Screening Methods

Another aspect of the present invention is a method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity. This is an important aspect of the present invention, because it provides a method for screening for compounds that disrupt the sorting process and thus have potential antibiotic activity against Gram-positive bacteria.

10 In general, this method comprises the steps of: (1) providing an active fraction of sortase-transamidase enzyme; (2) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase activity in the presence and in the absence of the compound being screened; and (3) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound.

15 The active fraction of sortase-transamidase enzyme can be a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme preparation according to the present invention, but can be a less purified preparation, such as a partially purified particulate preparation as described below.

20 The enzymatic activity can be measured by the cleavage of a suitable substrate, such as the construct having the Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) gene fused to the cell wall sorting signal of Staphylococcal Protein A (SPA). The cleavage can be determined by monitoring the molecular weight of the products by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis or by other methods.

25 One particularly preferred assay for sortase-transamidase activity is the following:

Staphylococcal soluble RNA (sRNA) is prepared from *S. aureus* by a modification of the technique of Zubay (G. Zubay, J. Mol. Biol. 4: 347-356 (1962)). An overnight culture of *S. aureus* is diluted 1:10 in TSB and incubated at 37°C for 3 hr. The cells are harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 15 min.

30 For every gram of wet cell pellets, 2 ml of 0.01 M magnesium acetate, 0.001 M Tris, pH 7.5 is used to suspend the pellets. The cell pellets are beaten by glass bead beater for 45 minutes in 5 minute intervals. The suspension is centrifuged twice at 2500 rpm for 5

minutes to remove the glass beads, then 0.5 ml phenol is added to the suspension. The suspension is vigorously shaken for 90 minutes at 4°C, and then centrifuged at 18,000 x g for 15 minutes. The nucleic acids in the top layer are precipitated by addition of 0.1 volume of 20% potassium acetate and 2 volumes of ethanol, then stored at 4°C for at least 36 hours.

- 5 The precipitate is obtained by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 5 minutes. Cold NaCl (1 ml) is added to the precipitate and stirred at 4°C for 1 hour. The suspension is centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 30 minutes. The sediments are washed with 0.5 ml of cold 1 M NaCl. The supernatants are combined and 2 volumes of ethanol is added to precipitate the tRNA. The precipitate is suspended in 0.1 ml of 0.2 M glycine, pH 10.3 and incubated for 3 hr at 37°C.
- 10 This suspension is then made 0.4 M in NaCl and the RNA is precipitated by addition of 2 volumes of ethanol. The precipitate is dissolved in 0.7 ml of 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 7.0. To this is slowly added 0.5 volume of isopropyl alcohol, with stirring. The precipitate is removed by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 5 min. This precipitate is redissolved in 0.35 ml of 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 7.0. To this is added 0.5 volume of isopropyl alcohol, using the
- 15 same procedure as above. The precipitate is also removed by centrifugation. The combined supernatants from the two centrifugations are treated further with 0.37 ml of isopropyl alcohol. The resulting precipitate is dissolved in 75 µl of water and dialyzed against water overnight at 4°C. This sRNA is used in the sortase-transamidase assay.

- Particulate sortase-transamidase enzyme is prepared for use in the assay by a
- 20 modification of the procedure of Chatterjee & Park (A.N. Chatterjee & J.T. Park, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 51: 9-16 (1964)). An overnight culture of *S. aureus* OS2 is diluted 1:50 in TSB and incubated at 37°C for 3 hr. Cells are harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 15 minutes, and washed twice with ice-cold water. The cells are disrupted by shaking 7 ml of 1 3% suspension of cells in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM 2-
- 25 mercaptoethanol with an equal volume of glass beads for 10-15 minutes in a beater. The glass beads are removed by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes. The crude extract is then centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 5 minutes. The supernatant is centrifuged again at 100,000 x g for 30 minutes. The light yellow translucent pellet is resuspended in 2 to 4 ml of 0.02 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.1 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. This
- 30 suspension represents the crude particulate enzyme and is used in the reaction mixture below.

The supernatant from centrifugation at 100,000 x g is passed through gel filtration using a Sephadex® G-25 agarose column (Pharmacia) to remove endogenous substrates. This supernatant is also used in the reaction mixture.

The complete reaction mixture contains in a final volume of 30 μ l (M. Matsuhashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 54: 587-594 (1965)): 3 μ mol of Tris-HCl, pH 7.8; 0.1 μ mol of $MgCl_2$; 1.3 μ mol of KCl; 2.7 nmol of [3H] glycine (200 μ Ci/ μ mol); 2 nmol of UDP-M-pentapeptide; 5 nmol of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine; 0.2 μ mol of ATP; 0.05 μ mol of potassium phosphoenolpyruvate; 2.05 μ g of chloramphenicol; 5 μ g of pyruvate kinase; 0.025 μ mol of 2-mercaptoethanol; 50 μ g of staphylococcal sRNA prepared as above; 4 μ g (as protein) of supernatant as prepared above; 271 μ g of particulate enzyme prepared as above; and 8 nmol of a synthesized soluble peptide (HHHHHHAQALEPTGEENPF) (SEQ ID NO: 32) as a substrate.

10 The mixture is incubated at 20°C for 60 minutes. The mixture is then heated at 100°C for 1 minute. The mixture is diluted to 1 ml and precipitated with 50 μ l nickel resin, and washed with wash buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5). The nickel resin beads are counted in a scintillation counter to determine 3H bound to the beads.

15 The effectiveness of the compound being screened to inhibit the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme can be determined by adding it to the assay mixture in a predetermined concentration and determining the resulting degree of inhibition of enzyme activity that results. Typically, a dose-response curve is generated using a range of concentrations of the compound being screened.

20 The particulate enzyme preparation of sortase-transamidase employed in this protocol can be replaced with any other sortase-transamidase preparation, purified or crude, staphylococcal, recombinant, or from any other source from any other Gram-positive bacterium as described above.

25 The soluble peptide is captured in this embodiment by its affinity for nickel resin as a result of the six histidine residues. More than six histidine residues can be used in the peptide. As an alternative, the soluble peptide can be captured by an affinity resulting from other interactions, such as streptavidin-biotin, glutathione S-transferase-glutathione, maltose binding protein-amylose, and the like, by replacing the six histidine residues with the amino acid sequence that constitutes the binding site in the peptide and employing the
30 appropriate solid phase affinity resin containing the binding partner. Suitable peptides can be prepared by solid phase peptide synthesis using techniques well known in the art, such as those described in M. Bodanszky, "Peptide Chemistry: A Practical Textbook" (2d ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993). For example, if the glutathione S-transferase-glutathione

interaction is used, the active site of glutathione S-transferase (D.B. Smith & K.S. Johnson, "Single-Step Purification of Polypeptides Expressed in *Escherichia coli* as Fusions with Glutathione S-Transferase," Gene 67: 31-40 (1988)) can be substituted for the six histidine residues, and glutathione can be bound to the solid support.

- 5 Alternatively, the soluble peptide can be released from the sortase by hydroxylaminolysis and then quantitated or monitored. The strong nucleophile hydroxylamine attacks thioester to form hydroxamate with carboxyl, thereby regenerating the enzyme sulfhydryl. Hydroxylaminolysis can be carried out in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0 with a concentration of 0.1 M hydroxylamine for 60 minutes. The released peptide, for example,
- 10 can be quantitated by mass spectroscopy or other methods.

IV. USE OF SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE FOR PROTEIN AND PEPTIDE DISPLAY

A. Methods for Protein and Peptide Display

The sortase-transamidase enzyme of the present invention can also be used in a method of displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a gram-positive bacterium.

5 In general, a first embodiment of this method comprises the steps of: (1) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxyl-terminal end as described above; (2) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and (3)
10 allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

In this method, the polypeptide having the sorting signal at its carboxy-
15 terminal end need not be expressed in a Gram-positive bacterium; it can be expressed in another bacterial system such as *Escherichia coli* or *Salmonella typhimurium*, or in a eukaryotic expression system.

The other method for protein targeting and display relies on direct expression of the chimeric protein in a Gram-positive bacterium and the action of the sortase-
20 transamidase on the expressed protein. In general, such a method comprises the steps of: (1) cloning a nucleic acid segment encoding a chimeric protein into a Gram-positive bacterium to generate a cloned chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above, the chimeric protein including the polypeptide to be displayed; (2) growing the bacterium into which the nucleic acid segment has been cloned to express the cloned
25 chimeric protein to generate a chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal; and (3) covalent binding of the chimeric protein to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of the sortase-transamidase involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the protein is displayed on the surface of the gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the protein is accessible to a ligand.

30 Typically, the Gram-positive bacterium is a species of *Staphylococcus*. A particularly preferred species of *Staphylococcus* is *Staphylococcus aureus*.

However, other Gram-positive bacteria such as *Streptococcus pyogenes*, other *Streptococcus* species, and Gram-positive bacteria of other genera can also be used.

Cloning the nucleic acid segment encoding the chimeric protein into the Gram-positive bacterium is performed by standard methods. In general, such cloning involves: (1) isolation of a nucleic acid segment encoding the protein to be sorted and covalently linked to the cell wall; (2) joining the nucleic acid segment to the sorting signal; (3) cloning by insertion into a vector compatible with the Gram-positive bacterium in which expression is to take place; and (4) incorporation of the vector including the new chimeric nucleic acid segment into the bacterium.

Typically, the nucleic acid segment encoding the protein to be sorted is DNA; however, the use of RNA in certain cloning steps is within the scope of the present invention.

When dealing with genes from eukaryotic organisms, it is preferred to use cDNA, because the natural gene typically contains intervening sequences or introns that are not translated. Alternatively, if the amino acid sequence is known, a synthetic gene encoding the protein to be sorted can be constructed by standard solid-phase oligodeoxyribonucleotide synthesis methods, such as the phosphotriester or phosphite triester methods. The sequence of the synthetic gene is determined by the genetic code, by which each naturally occurring amino acid is specified by one or more codons. Additionally, if a portion of the protein sequence is known, but the gene or messenger RNA has not been isolated, the amino acid sequence can be used to construct a degenerate set of probes according to the known degeneracy of the genetic code. General aspects of cloning are described, for example, in J. Sambrook et al., "Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual" (2d ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989); in B. Perbal, "A Practical Guide to Molecular Cloning" (2d ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York 1988), in S.L. Berger & A.R. Kimmel, "Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques" (Methods in Enzymology, vol. 152, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1987), and in D.V. Goeddel, ed., "Gene Expression Technology" (Methods in Enzymology, vol. 185, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1991).

Once isolated, DNA encoding the protein to be sorted is then joined to the sorting signal. This is typically accomplished through ligation, such as using *Escherichia coli* or bacteriophage T4 ligase. Conditions for the use of these enzymes are well known and are described, for example, in the above general references.

The ligation is done in such a way so that the protein to be sorted and the sorting signal are joined in a single contiguous reading frame so that a single protein is produced. This may, in some cases, involve addition or deletion of bases of the cloned DNA segment to maintain a single reading frame. This can be done by using standard techniques.

Cloning is typically performed by inserting the cloned DNA into a vector containing control elements to allow expression of the cloned DNA. The vector is then incorporated into the bacterium in which expression is to occur, using standard techniques of transformation or other techniques for introducing nucleic acids into bacteria.

5 One suitable cloning system for *S. aureus* places the cloned gene under the control of the BlaZRI regulon (P.Z. Wang et al., Nucl. Acids Res. 19:4000 (1991)). Vectors and other cloning techniques for use in *Staphylococcus aureus* are described in B. Nilsson & L. Abrahmsen, "Fusion to Staphylococcal Protein A," in Gene Expression Technology, supra, p.144-161.

10 If the chimeric protein is cloned under control of the BlaZRI regulon, expression can be induced by the addition of the β -lactam antibiotic methicillin.

Another aspect of the present invention is a polypeptide displayed on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium by covalent linkage of an amino-acid sequence of LPX₃X₄ derived from cleavage of an LPX₃X₄G motif, as described above.

15 Yet another aspect of the present invention is a covalent complex comprising: (1) the displayed polypeptide; and (2) an antigen or hapten covalently cross-linked to the polypeptide.

B. Screening Methods

20 These polypeptides associated with the cell surfaces of Gram-positive bacteria can be used in various ways for screening. For example, samples of expressed proteins from an expression library containing expressed proteins on the surfaces of the cells can be used to screen for clones that express a particular desired protein when a labeled antibody or other labeled specific binding partner for that protein is available.

25 These methods are based on the methods for protein targeting and display described above.

30 A first embodiment of such a method comprises: (1) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end as described above; (2) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal; (3) binding of the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium

involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and (4) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

The nucleic acid segment encoding the chimeric protein is formed by methods well known in the art and can include a spacer.

In the last step, the cells are merely exposed to the labeled antibody or other labeled specific binding partner, unreacted antibodies removed as by a wash, and label associated with the cells detected by conventional techniques such as fluorescence, chemiluminescence, or autoradiography.

A second embodiment of this method employs expression in a Gram-positive bacterium that also produces a sortase-transamidase enzyme. This method comprises: (1) cloning a nucleic acid segment encoding a chimeric protein into a Gram-positive bacterium to generate a cloned chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above, the chimeric protein including the polypeptide whose expression is to be screened; (2) growing the bacterium into which the nucleic acid segment has been cloned to express the cloned chimeric protein to generate a chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal; (3) binding the polypeptide covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and (4) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

V. USE OF SORTED MOLECULES FOR DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF BACTERIAL INFECTIONS

Sorted molecules can also be used for the diagnosis and treatment of bacterial infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria. Antibiotic molecules or fluorescent or any other diagnostic molecules can be chemically linked to a sorted peptide segment, which may include a spacer as described above, and then can be injected into animals or humans. These

molecules are then sorted by the sortase-transamidase so that they are covalently linked to the cell wall of the bacteria.

In general, these methods comprise: (1) conjugating an antibiotic or a detection reagent to a protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal to produce a conjugate; and (2) introducing the conjugate to an organism infected with a Gram-positive bacterium in order to cause the conjugate to be sorted and covalently cross-linked to the cell walls of the bacterium in order to treat or diagnose the infection.

The antibiotic used can be, but is not limited to, a penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, a cephalosporin, amikacin, kanamycin, neomycin, paromomycin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, rifampin, chloramphenicol, or norfloxacin, or a derivative of these antibiotics.

The detection reagent is typically an antibody or other specific binding partner labeled with a detectable label, such as a radiolabel. Such methods are well known in the art and need not be described further here.

Accordingly, another aspect of the present invention is a conjugate comprising an antibiotic or a detection reagent covalently conjugated to a protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal as described above to produce a conjugate.

Yet another aspect of the present invention is a composition comprising the conjugate and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

In this context, the conjugates can be administered using conventional modes of administration, including, but not limited to, intravenous, intraperitoneal, oral, or intralymphatic. Other routes of administration can alternatively be used. Oral or intraperitoneal administration is generally preferred. The composition can be administered in a variety of dosage forms, which include, but are not limited to, liquid solutions or suspensions, tablets, pills, powders, suppositories, polymeric microcapsules or microvesicles, liposomes, and injectable or infusible solutions. The preferred form depends on the mode of administration and the quantity administered.

The compositions for administration preferably also include conventional pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and adjuvants known in the art such as human serum albumin, ion exchangers, alumina, lecithin, buffered substances such as phosphate, glycine, sorbic acid, potassium sorbate, and salts or electrolytes such as protamine sulfate. The most effective mode of administration and dosage regimen for the conjugates as used in the methods in the present invention depend on the severity and course of the disease, the

patient's health, the response to treatment, the particular strain of bacteria infecting the patient, other drugs being administered and the development of resistance to them, the accessibility of the site of infection to blood flow, pharmacokinetic considerations such as the condition of the patient's liver and/or kidneys that can affect the metabolism and/or excretion of the administered conjugates, and the judgment of the treating physician. According, the dosages should be titrated to the individual patient.

VI. USE OF SORTED POLYPEPTIDES FOR PRODUCTION OF VACCINES

Additionally, the sorted polypeptides covalently crosslinked to the cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria according to the present invention have a number of uses. One use is use in the production of vaccines that can be used to generate immunity against infectious diseases affecting mammals, including both human and non-human mammals, such as cattle, sheep, and goats, as well as other animals such as poultry and fish. This invention is of special importance to mammals. The usefulness of these complexes for vaccine production lies in the fact that the proteins are on the surface of the cell wall and are accessible to the medium surrounding the bacterial cells, so that the antigenic part of the chimeric protein is accessible to the antigen processing system. It is well known that presenting antigens in particulate form greatly enhances the immune response. In effect, bacteria containing antigenic peptides on the surfaces linked to the bacteria by these covalent interactions function as natural adjuvants. Here follows a representative list of typical microorganisms that express polypeptide antigens against which useful antibodies can be prepared by the methods of the present invention:

(1) Fungi: *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Histoplasma capsulatum* (all cause disseminating disease), *Microsporium canis* (animal ringworm).

(2) Parasitic protozoa: (1) *Plasmodium falciparum* (malaria), *Trypanosoma cruzi* (sleeping sickness).

(3) Spirochetes: (1) *Borrelia burgdorferi* (Lyme disease), *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis), *Borrelia recurrentis* (relapsing fever), *Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae* (leptospirosis).

(4) Bacteria: *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (gonorrhea), *Staphylococcus aureus* (endocarditis), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (rheumatic fever), *Salmonella typhosa* (salmonellosis), *Hemophilus influenzae* (influenza), *Bordetella pertussis* (whooping cough), *Actinomyces israelii* (actinomycosis), *Streptococcus mutans* (dental caries), *Streptococcus*

equi (strangles in horses), *Streptococcus agalactiae* (bovine mastitis), *Streptococcus anginosus* (canine genital infections).

- (5) Viruses: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), poliovirus, influenza virus, rabies virus, herpes virus, foot and mouth disease virus, psittacosis virus,
5 paramyxovirus, myxovirus, coronavirus.

Typically, the resulting immunological response occurs by both humoral and cell-mediated pathways. One possible immunological response is the production of antibodies, thereby providing protection against infection by the pathogen.

- This method is not limited to protein antigens. As discussed below, non-
10 protein antigens or haptens can be covalently linked to the C-terminal cell-wall targeting segment, which can be produced as an independently expressed polypeptide, either alone, or with a spacer at its amino-terminal end. If a spacer at the amino-terminal end is used, typically the spacer will have a conformation allowing the efficient interaction of the non-protein antigen or hapten with the immune system, most typically a random coil or α -helical
15 form. The spacer can be of any suitable length; typically, it is in the range of about 5 to about 30 amino acids; most typically, about 10 to about 20 amino acids. In this version of the embodiment, the independently expressed polypeptide, once expressed, can then be covalently linked to the hapten or non-protein antigen. Typical non-protein antigens or haptens include drugs, including both drugs of abuse and therapeutic drugs, alkaloids,
20 steroids, carbohydrates, aromatic compounds, including many pollutants, and other compounds that can be covalently linked to protein and against which an immune response can be raised.

Alternatively, a protein antigen can be covalently linked to the independently expressed cell-wall targeting segment or a cell-wall targeting segment including a spacer.

- 25 Many methods for covalent linkage of both protein and non-protein compounds to proteins are well known in the art and are described, for example, in P. Tijssen, "Practice and Theory of Enzyme Immunoassays" (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985), pp. 221-295, and in S.S. Wong, "Chemistry of Protein Conjugation and Cross-Linking" (CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL, 1993).

- 30 Many reactive groups on both protein and non-protein compounds are available for conjugation.

For example, organic moieties containing carboxyl groups or that can be carboxylated can be conjugated to proteins via the mixed anhydride method, the carbodiimide method, using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, and the N-hydroxysuccinimide ester method.

If the organic moiety contains amino groups or reducible nitro groups or can
5 be substituted with such groups, conjugation can be achieved by one of several techniques. Aromatic amines can be converted to diazonium salts by the slow addition of nitrous acid and then reacted with proteins at a pH of about 9. If the organic moiety contains aliphatic amines, such groups can be conjugated to proteins by various methods, including carbodiimide, tolylene-2,4-diisocyanate, or maleimide compounds, particularly the N-hydroxysuccinimide
10 esters of maleimide derivatives. An example of such a compound is 4-(N-maleimidomethyl)-cyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid. Another example is m-maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester. Still another reagent that can be used is N-succinimidyl-3-(2-pyridyldithio) propionate. Also, bifunctional esters, such as dimethylpimelimidate, dimethyladipimidate, or dimethylsuberimidate, can be used to couple amino-group-
15 containing moieties to proteins.

Additionally, aliphatic amines can also be converted to aromatic amines by reaction with p-nitrobenzoylchloride and subsequent reduction to a p-aminobenzoylamide, which can then be coupled to proteins after diazotization.

Organic moieties containing hydroxyl groups can be cross-linked by a number
20 of indirect procedures. For example, the conversion of an alcohol moiety to the half ester of succinic acid (hemisuccinate) introduces a carboxyl group available for conjugation. The bifunctional reagent sebacoyldichloride converts alcohol to acid chloride which, at pH 8.5, reacts readily with proteins. Hydroxyl-containing organic moieties can also be conjugated through the highly reactive chlorocarbonates, prepared with an equal molar amount of
25 phosgene.

For organic moieties containing ketones or aldehydes, such carbonyl-containing groups can be derivatized into carboxyl groups through the formation of O-(carboxymethyl) oximes. Ketone groups can also be derivatized with p-hydrazinobenzoic acid to produce carboxyl groups that can be conjugated to the specific binding partner as
30 described above. Organic moieties containing aldehyde groups can be directly conjugated through the formation of Schiff bases which are then stabilized by a reduction with sodium borohydride.

One particularly useful cross-linking agent for hydroxyl-containing organic moieties is a photosensitive noncleavable heterobifunctional cross-linking reagent, sulfosuccinimidyl 6-[4'-azido-2'-nitrophenylamino] hexanoate. Other similar reagents are described in S.S. Wong, "Chemistry of Protein Conjugation and Cross-Linking," supra.

5 Other cross-linking reagents can be used that introduce spacers between the organic moiety and the specific binding partner.

These methods need not be described further here.

VII. PRODUCTION OF SUBSTANTIALLY PURIFIED SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE ENZYME

10 Another aspect of the present invention is methods for the production of substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

A. Methods Involving Expression of Cloned Gene

One method for the production of substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme involves the expression of the cloned gene, preferably the *srtA* gene. The isolation
15 of the nucleic acid segment or segments encoding the sortase-transamidase enzyme is described above; these nucleic acid segment or segments are then incorporated into a vector and then use to transform a host in which the enzyme can be expressed. In one alternative, the host is a Gram-positive bacterium.

The next step in this alternative is expression in a Gram-positive bacterium to
20 generate the cloned sortase-transamidase enzyme. Expression is typically under the control of various control elements associated with the vector incorporating the DNA encoding the sortase-transamidase gene, such as the coding region of the *srtA* gene; such elements can include promoters and operators, which can be regulated by proteins such as repressors. The conditions required for expression of cloned proteins in gram-positive bacteria, particularly *S.*
25 *aureus*, are well known in the art and need not be further recited here. An example is the induction of expression of lysostaphin under control of the BlaZRI regulon induced by the addition of methicillin.

When expressed in *Staphylococcus aureus*, the chimeric protein is typically first exported with an amino-terminal leader peptide, such as the hydrophobic signal peptide
30 at the amino-terminal region of the cloned lysostaphin of Recsei et al. (P. Recsei et al., "Cloning, Sequence, and Expression of the Lysostaphin Gene from *Staphylococcus simulans*," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:1127-1131 (1987)).

Alternatively, the cloned nucleic acid segment encoding the sortase-transamidase enzyme can be inserted in a vector that contains sequences allowing expression of the sortase-transamidase in another organism, such as *E. coli* or *S. typhimurium*. A suitable host organism can then be transformed or transfected with the vector containing the cloned nucleic acid segment. Expression is then performed in that host organism.

The expressed enzyme is then purified using standard techniques. Techniques for the purification of cloned proteins are well known in the art and need not be detailed further here. One particularly suitable method of purification is affinity chromatography employing an immobilized antibody to sortase. Other protein purification methods include chromatography on ion-exchange resins, gel electrophoresis, isoelectric focusing, and gel filtration, among others.

One particularly useful form of affinity chromatography for purification of cloned proteins, such as sortase-transamidase, as well as other proteins, such as glutathione S-transferase and thioredoxin, that have been extended with carboxyl-terminal histidine residues, is chromatography on a nickel-sepharose column. This allows the purification of a sortase-transamidase enzyme extended at its carboxyl terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to the nickel-sepharose column through the histidine residues. The bound protein is then eluted with imidazole. Typically, six or more histidine residues are added; preferably, six histidine residues are added. One way of adding the histidine residues to a cloned protein, such the sortase-transamidase, is through PCR with a primer that includes nucleotides encoding the histidine residues. The histidine codons are CAU and CAC expressed as RNA, which are CAT and CAC as DNA. Amplification of the cloned DNA with appropriate primers will add the histidine residues to yield a new nucleic acid segment, which can be recloned into an appropriate host for expression of the enzyme extended with the histidine residues.

B. Other Methods

Alternatively, the sortase-transamidase can be purified from Gram-positive bacteria by standard methods, including precipitation with reagents such as ammonium sulfate or protamine sulfate, ion-exchange chromatography, gel filtration chromatography, affinity chromatography, isoelectric focusing, and gel electrophoresis, as well as other methods known in the art.

Because the sortase-transamidase is a cysteine protease, one particularly useful method of purification involves covalent chromatography by thiol-disulfide interchange, using a two-protonic-state gel containing a 2-mercaptopyridine leaving group, such as Sepharose 2B-glutathione 2-pyridyl disulfide or Sepharose 6B-hydroxypropyl 2-pyridyl disulfide. Such covalent chromatographic techniques are described in K. Brocklehurst et al., "Cysteine Proteases," in New Comprehensive Biochemistry, Volume 16: Hydrolytic Enzymes (A. Neuberger & K. Brocklehurst, eds., Elsevier, New York, 1987), ch. 2, pp. 39-158.

VIII. FURTHER APPLICATIONS OF SORTASE-TRANSAMIDASE

A. Production of Antibodies

Antibodies can be prepared to the substantially purified sortase-transamidase of the present invention, whether the sortase-transamidase is purified from bacteria or produced from recombinant bacteria as a result of gene cloning procedures. Because the substantially purified enzyme according to the present invention is a protein, it is an effective antigen, and antibodies can be made by well-understood methods such as those disclosed in E. Harlow & D. Lane, "Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual" (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1988). In general, antibody preparation involves immunizing an antibody-producing animal with the protein, with or without an adjuvant such as Freund's complete or incomplete adjuvant, and purification of the antibody produced. The resulting polyclonal antibody can be purified by techniques such as affinity chromatography.

Once the polyclonal antibodies are prepared, monoclonal antibodies can be prepared by standard procedures, such as those described in Chapter 6 of Harlow & Lane, supra.

B. Derivatives for Affinity Chromatography

Another aspect of the present invention is derivatives of the cloned, substantially purified sortase-transamidase of the present invention extended at its carboxyl terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column through the histidine residues. Typically, six or more histidine residues are added; preferably, six histidine residues are added.

The histidine residues can be added to the carboxyl terminus through PCR cloning as described above.

This invention is further described by means of the following example. This Example is for illustrative purposes only, and are not to be construed as limiting the scope of
5 the invention in any manner.

Example 1

Identification of a Staphylococcal Mutant Defective in Cell Wall Sorting

Generation of temperature sensitive (ts) mutants through chemical mutagenesis

Cell wall sorting mutants were created and isolated from a population of conditional lethal mutants of *S. aureus* strain OS2. Staphylococci were mutagenized with nitrosoguanidine and colonies were formed by plating at 30°C. Bacteria were streaked and incubated at 30°C and 42°C to identify mutants that are temperature sensitive for growth (ts). A collection of one thousand ts mutants was transformed with pSEB-SPA490-524 (O. Schneewind, D. Mihaylova-Petkov, P. Model, *EMBO* 12, 4803 (1993)), specifying a reporter protein for measurements of surface protein anchoring. The SEB-SPA490-524 precursor (P1) is exported from the cytoplasm and its NH₂-terminal leader peptide removed to generate the P2 intermediate (Figure 2A). The P2 precursor is the substrate for sortase, which cleaves the polypeptide between the threonine and the glycine of the LPXTG motif and generates mature, anchored surface protein (M). When analyzed by labeling wild-type staphylococci with [³⁵S]methionine for 5 min, cleavage of P1 precursor is faster than that of the P2 species, yielding a ratio of P1 (5%), P2 (19%), and M(76%) concentration (Figure 2B). This assay was employed to screen one thousand ts mutants and two strains were identified that accumulated P2 precursor at 47% (SM317) and 26% (SM329), respectively (Figure 2B). To examine the sorting reaction further, mutant and wild-type staphylococci were subjected to pulse-chase analysis (Figure 2C). *S. aureus* OS2 (wild-type) cleaved and anchored the P1 precursor within 2 min. The sorting reaction in strain SM317 was severely reduced as cleavage and cell wall anchoring of pulse-labeled P2 required more than 10 min. Strain SM329 displayed only a weak defect and P2 processing required 3 min (Figure 2C). When examined by pulse-labeling staphylococci grown in minimal medium, SM329 displayed a much more severe defect in cell wall sorting.

Anchor structure of surface proteins in the mutant strain SM317

To examine whether the mutant strains SM317 and SM329 are defective in the synthesis of bacterial cell wall, two tests were performed. Lysostaphin is a bacteriolytic enzyme that cuts the pentaglycine crossbridges of the staphylococcal cell wall predominantly at the central glycine residue (C. A. Schindler and V. T. Schuhardt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **51**, 414 (1964); B. L. M. de Jonge, Y. S. Chang, D. Gage, A. Tomasz, *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**, 11248 (1992)). As reported previously, *fem* mutants display resistance to this bacteriocin and grow even in the presence of large amounts of lysostaphin (U. Kopp, M. Roos, J. Wecke, H. Labischinski, *Microb. Drug Resist.* **2**, 29 (1996)). Strains SM317 and SM329 were sensitive to lysostaphin at concentrations that also inhibited growth of wild-type staphylococci, indicating that the sorting defect in SM317 is not caused by a mutationally altered cell wall crossbridge. To measure bacterial cell wall synthesis, staphylococci were grown in minimal medium and labeled with [³H]lysine and [³H]leucine (D. Boothby, L. Daneo-Moore, G. D. Shockman, *Anal. Biochem.* **44**, 645 (1971)). As lysine, but not leucine, is a component of the bacterial cell wall, the ratio of [³H]lysine/[³H]leucine incorporation into acid precipitable and protease resistant murein polymer is a measure for cell wall synthesis (D. Boothby, L. Daneo-Moore, G. D. Shockman, *Anal. Biochem.* **44**, 645 (1971)). Wild-type staphylococci displayed a ratio of 30, while the addition of vancomycin to the culture medium reduced the ratio of incorporated lysine/leucine to 1.5 (20 fold inhibition). Strains SM317 and SM329 displayed a ratio of 18 and 19 (1.6 fold less than wild-type cells), suggesting that the accumulation of P2 precursor in the mutant SM317 is not caused by a defect in cell wall synthesis..

The cell wall anchor structure of surface protein in strain SM317 was determined (Figure 3). Plasmid pHTT4 specifying the reporter protein SEB-MH6-CWS was transformed into *S. aureus* SM317 (H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 22285 (1997)). The staphylococcal cell wall was purified and digested with mutanolysin, a muramidase that hydrolyzes the glycan strands (K. Yokogawa, *et al.*, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **6**, 156 (1974)). Mutanolysin-released surface protein was purified by chromatography on Ni-NTA and cleaved at methionine residues with cyanogen bromide (H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 22285 (1997)). COOH-terminal peptides bearing cell wall anchor structures were purified by a second affinity chromatography step and analyzed by MALDI-MS (Figure 3B). A series of ion

signals with regularly spaced mass increments was revealed, measurements that are consistent with one, two, three, four, five and six peptidoglycan subunits linked to the COOH-terminal threonine of surface protein. Ion signals of muanolysin-solubilized anchor peptides were explained as H₆AQALPET-Gly₅ linked to cell wall tetrapeptide (predicted mass 2235; observed 2236), pentapeptide (predicted mass 2306; observed 2306), N,O₆-diacetylMurNac-GlcNac tetrapeptide (predicted mass 2755, observed 2756), N,O₆-diacetylMurNac-GlcNac pentapeptide (predicted mass 2826, observed 2826), murein-tetrapeptide-murein-pentapeptide (predicted mass 3991, observed 3995), (murein-tetrapeptide)₂-murein-pentapeptide (predicted mass 5194; observed 5196), (murein-tetrapeptide)₄ (predicted mass 6285 observed 6285), (murein-tetrapeptide)₄-murein-pentapeptide (predicted mass 7581; observed 7583), (murein-tetrapeptide)₅-murein-pentapeptide (predicted mass 8783; observed 8784). If surface protein is tethered to cross-linked peptidoglycan of strain SM317, digestion of muramidase-solubilized anchor peptides with *f*11 hydrolase should produce anchor peptide linked to murein tetrapeptide and disaccharide-tetrapeptide (H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 22285 (1997); W. W. Navarre, H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, in press (1999)) (Figure 3). This was tested and the doubly digested anchor peptides generated ion signals at *m/z* 2236 [L-Ala-D-iGln-L-Lys(NH₂-H₆AQALPET-Gly₅)-D-Ala, predicted mass 2235], 2714 [MurNac(L-Ala-D-iGln-L-Lys(NH₂-H₆AQALPET-Gly₅)-D-Ala)-GlcNac, predicted mass 2713] and 2756 [O₆-acetyl-MurNac(L-Ala-D-iGln-L-Lys(NH₂-H₆AQALPET-Gly₅)-D-Ala)-GlcNac, predicted mass 2756] (Figure 3C). Thus, surface proteins of *S. aureus* SM317 are tethered to cross-linked peptidoglycan in a manner that is indistinguishable from the anchor structure of polypeptides in wild-type staphylococci (W. W. Navarre, H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 29135 (1998)). These results suggest that the accumulation of P2 precursor in strain SM317 is likely caused by a defect in sortase.

Screening for the Sortase Gene

Over-expression of sortase from a multi-copy plasmid should reduce the concentration of P2 in both wild-type and mutant staphylococci. A plasmid library of two thousand 3-5 kb random *S. aureus* OS2 chromosomal DNA insertions was screened for sequences that caused a reduction in the concentration of P2 precursor in strain SM317. Two plasmids, pGL1631 and pGL1834, answered this screen (Figure 4). Transformation with

pGL1834 reduced the P2 concentration in strain SM317 from 44% to 9%, in strain SM329 from 26% to 12%, and in wild-type *S. aureus* OS2 from 17% to 8%. When measured by pulse-chase analysis, *S. aureus* OS2 (pGL1834) displayed a rapidly increased processing of P2 precursors, a phenotype that was also observed in strains SM317 and SM329 (Figure 4C).

- 5 DNA sequencing revealed that pGL1631 and pGL1834 contained staphylococcal chromosomal DNA insertions with identical overlapping sequences. The DNA sequence sufficient to promote a reduction in P2 concentration was mapped to a gene which was named *srtA* (surface protein sorting A) (Figure 5).

The *srtA* gene

- 10 The *srtA* gene (SEQ. ID NO. 2) specifies a polypeptide chain of 206 amino acids (Figure 6; SEQ. ID. NO. 3). A sequence of 18 hydrophobic amino acids near the NH₂-terminus suggests the presence of a signal peptide/membrane anchor sequence. This feature is consistent with the notion that cell wall anchoring occurs on the cell surface, after polypeptide substrates bearing an LPXTG motif have been translocated across the
- 15 cytoplasmic membrane. Another property of the *srtA* gene consistent with its function as sortase is the presence of codon 184 specifying cysteine. As the cell wall sorting reaction is sensitive to methanethiosulfonate, a reagent that forms disulfide with sulfhydryl (D.J. Smith, E.T. Maggio, G.L. Kenyon, *Biochemistry* 14, 764 (1975)), the presence of a cysteine must be a conserved feature of sortase homologues.

- 20 Many, if not all, Gram-positive pathogens display proteins on their surface via a sorting signal mediated mechanism (W. W. Navarre and O. Schneewind, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 63, 174 (1999)). Thus, if the *srtA* gene specifies sortase, homologous genes should be found in the genomes of other Gram-positive pathogens. Chromosomal DNA sequences of *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus*
- 25 *pneumoniae*, and *Streptococcus mutans* were searched and the presence of *srtA* genes revealed (Figure 7). Database searches also identified sequences homologous to *srtA* in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Actinomyces naeslundii*. All *srtA* homologues displayed absolute conservation of the cysteine and striking conservation of the peptide sequences surrounding it (Figure 7). *S. pneumoniae* harbors more than one *srtA* homologue which we have named
- 30 *srtB* and *srtC*, respectively. The *srtA* like genes of *E. faecalis* and *A. naeslundii* are immediately adjacent to structural genes specifying surface proteins with a COOH-terminal sorting signal. The presence of a *srtA* homologue in the chromosome of *B. subtilis* is

surprising as LPXTG motif containing sorting signals have not yet been identified in this organism. One of the *srtA* homologues in *A. naeslundii*, previously designated *orf365*, has been mutated, which abolished fimbrial assembly of mutant *Actinomyces* (M. K. Yeung, J. A. Donkersloot, J. O. Cisar, P. A. Ragsdale, *J. Bacteriol.* **66**, 1482 (1998)). *Actinomyces* fimbriae are composed of protein subunits bearing LPXTG motifs (M. K. Yeung and J. O. Cisar, *J. Bacteriol.* **172**, 2462 (1990)), however the mechanism of fimbrial assembly (polymerization) is not yet understood.

The *srtA* gene in strain SM317

To examine whether the defect in cell wall sorting of *S. aureus* SM317 is caused by a mutation in the *srtA* gene, corresponding sequences were PCR amplified from the chromosomal DNA of *S. aureus* OS2 and SM317. When cloned into a multi-copy vector and transformed into *S. aureus* SM317, the *srtA* gene amplified from wild-type staphylococci reduced the P2 concentration from 44% to 12%, while the same gene amplified from the chromosomal DNA of *S. aureus* SM317 did not reduce the P2 concentration of the parent strain (Figure 4B). Thus, the *srtA* gene is defective in strain SM317 and DNA sequencing identified mutations in codons 35 and 180. The expression of wild-type *srtA* in SM317 in the ts phenotype of the mutant strain was examined. Multi-copy expression of *srtA* (pGL1894) allowed growth of SM317 at 42°C albeit at a rate that was less than that observed for wild-type staphylococci. This result suggests that the conditional lethal phenotype of *S. aureus* SM317 is not only caused a mutation in the *srtA* gene. Expression of plasmid encoded wild-type *srtA* did not alter the ts growth phenotype of *S. aureus* SM329.

Sortase and the cell wall sorting reaction

The *srtA* gene was isolated as a multi-copy suppressor of P2 precursor accumulation, a scheme that should only be answered by the gene for sortase. Only one gene (*srtA*) from a library of two thousand plasmid transformants bearing random 3-5 kb chromosomal DNA insertions was observed this screen. Additional observations show SrtA protein catalyzes the *in vitro* transpeptidation of substrates bearing an LPXTG motif, thereby demonstrating that SrtA displays sortase activity. Purified SrtA protein can be used for the screening of compounds that inhibit sortase. Such compounds may be useful for the treatment of human infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria.

Materials and MethodsMutagenesis of *S. aureus* Strain OS2

Staphylococci (1×10^{12} cfu) were treated with 0.2 mg/ml N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine for 45 min at 30°C and mutagenesis was quenched by the addition of 2
5 volumes of 100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Approximately 80% of the mutagenized population was killed and the mutational frequency of rifampicin resistant *rpoB* mutations was increased to 1.2×10^{-4} . Temperature sensitive mutants were selected by growing the mutagenized population in tryptic soy broth at 42°C and treating with 8 µg/ml penicillin G for two hours, a selection that was repeated twice. Colonies were formed at 30°C, streaked on
10 tryptic soy agar and examined for growth at 42°C.

Transformation of Competent Cells

Staphylococci were grown in tryptic soy broth supplemented with chloramphenicol (10 mg/ml) or tetracycline (2 mg/ml) at 30°C until OD₆₆₀ 0.6. Cells were incubated at 42°C for 20 min, sedimented by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 3 minutes and washed with 1 ml of prewarmed minimal medium [Schneewind, O., Model, P., Fischetti, V.A. (1992) Cell 70, 267]. Staphylococci were labeled with 50 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 5 minutes and surface protein processing quenched by the addition of 75 ml 100% TCA. The TCA precipitates were collected by centrifugation, washed in acetone and dried under vacuum. Samples were suspended in 1 ml of 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.0 and staphylococcal peptidoglycan was digested by adding 50 ml 2 mg/ml lysostaphin (AMBI Pharmaceuticals) for 1 hour at 37°C. Proteins were again precipitated with TCA, washed with acetone and, after immunoprecipitation with α-SEB, were analyzed by 14% SDS-PAGE and PhosphorImager.

Pulse-Chase Screen of Mutants

Staphylococci were grown as described above and 5 ml were labeled with 500 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 45 seconds. Incorporation of radioactivity was quenched by adding 50 ml chase (100 mg/ml casamino acids, 20 mg/ml methionine and cysteine). At timed intervals after the addition of the chase, 1 ml aliquots were removed and protein was precipitated by the addition of 75 ml 100% TCA. Sample preparation followed the same steps as described above.

DNA Sequencing

The DNA insertions pf pGL1631 and 1834 were mapped and sequenced by synthesizing oligonucleotide primers that annealed to sequenced template DNA 500 nucleotides apart. The primers for the amplification of *srtA* from the chromosomal DNA of *S. aureus* strains OS2 and SM317 were 5'-AAGGATTCAAAAGGAGCGGTATACATTGC-3' (SEQ ID NO. 32) and 5'-AAGGATCCTACCTTTTCCTCTAGCTGAAC-3' (SEQ ID NO. 33).

EXAMPLE 2

Inhibitors of Cell Wall Sorting

To study the effects of antibiotic cell wall synthesis inhibitors interfered with the anchoring of surface proteins, the activity of several inhibitors were examined in a Gram-positive bacteria sorting assay. A search for chemical inhibitors of the sorting reaction identified methanethiosulfonates and p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid. Thus, sortase, the enzyme proposed to cleave surface proteins at the LPXTG motif, appears to be a sulfhydryl containing enzyme that utilizes peptidoglycan precursors but not assembled cell wall as a substrate for the anchoring of surface protein.

In order to identify compounds that interfere with the anchoring of surface proteins a reporter protein Seb-Spa490-524 which, when expressed in *S. aureus* OS2 cells, is synthesized as a precursor in the cytoplasm and initiated into the secretory pathway by an NH₂-terminal leader peptide (P1 precursor) was utilized (Schneewind, O., Mihaylova-Petkov, D. and Model, P. (1993) *EMBO* 12, 4803-4811). After signal peptide cleavage, the P2 precursor bearing a COOH-terminal sorting signal serves as a substrate for sortase, an enzyme that cleaves between the threonine and the glycine of the LPXTG motif (Navarre, W. W. and Schneewind, O. (1994) *Mol. Microbiol.* 14, 115-121). Amide linkage of the carboxyl of threonine to the cell wall crossbridge generates mature, anchored surface protein (M) (Schneewind, O., Fowler, A. and Faull, K. F. (1995) *Science* 268, 103-106). Surface protein processing was investigated by pulse-labeling polypeptides with [³⁵S]methionine. During the pulse, all three species, P1 and P2 precursors as well as mature Seb-Spa490-524 can be detected (Figure 8B). Within 1 min after the addition of the chase, most pulse-labeled surface protein was converted to the mature, anchored species. Surface protein anchoring was complete 3 min after the quenching of [³⁵S]methionine incorporation.

Sodium azide is an inhibitor of SecA, an essential component of the secretory pathway in bacteria (Oliver, D. B., Cabelli, R. J., Dolan, K. M. and Jarosik, G. P. (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 8227-8231). Addition of 5 mM sodium azide to staphylococcal cultures 5 min prior to pulse-labeling significantly reduced protein export and led to the accumulation of leader peptide bearing P1 precursor (Schneewind, O., Model, P. and Fischetti, V. A. (1992) *Cell* 70, 267-281). Methanethiosulfonates react with sulfhydryl (Akabas, M. H. and Karlin, A. (1995) *Biochemistry* 34, 12496-12500) and one of these compounds, [2-(trimethylammonium) ethyl]methanethiosulfonate (MTSET) prevented

incorporation of [^{35}S]methionine by staphylococci. However, when added 15 seconds after the beginning of the pulse, MTSET interfered with the cleavage of sorting signals at the LPXTG motif, while the Sec-dependent export of P1 precursor remained unaltered. This result revealed that sortase must harbor a sulfhydryl that is necessary for enzymatic cleavage at LPXTG bearing sorting signals.

Sortase's requirement on sulfhydryl for enzymatic activity was tested, by the addition of other sulfhydryl reagents and analysis of inhibition of the cleavage of sorting signals at the LPXTG motif. MTSES, another methanethiosulfonate also interfered with sorting albeit not as effectively as MTSET (Table I). pHMB, an organic mercurial known to inhibit cysteine proteases, also displayed an inhibitory effect, whereas alkylating reagents such as N-ethylmaleimide, iodoacetate and iodoacetamide did not (Creighton, T. E. (1993) *Proteins*. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York.). Sulfhydryl reducing agents, i.e. dithiothreitol and mercaptoethanol, did not affect the sorting reaction. Neither PMSF, which reacts with hydroxyl (Creighton, T. E. (1993) *Proteins*. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York), nor treatment with the divalent cation chelator EDTA interfered with cell wall sorting, indicating that sortase likely does not require divalent cations or hydroxyl for cleavage and anchoring of surface protein.

Antibiotic inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis and cell wall sorting

To examine the effect of known antibiotics on cell wall sorting three compounds, penicillin, vancomycin and moenomycin were used. *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-Spa490-524) was grown in minimal medium until A₆₀₀ of 0.3, treated with 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of either penicillin, vancomycin, or moenomycin and incubated for an additional 5 hours (Figure 9A). At 30 min intervals during this experiment, aliquots were withdrawn for measurements of surface protein sorting and cell wall synthesis. The effect of antibiotics on the rate of bacterial cell wall synthesis was determined as the ratio of [^3H]lysine/[^3H]leucine label incorporated into acid precipitable, pronase resistant peptidoglycan. Lysine is a component of peptidoglycan, whereas leucine is not. Hence, the ratio of incorporation of these two amino acids is a measure for cell wall synthesis. Surface protein anchoring was measured by pulse-labeling and quantified as the ratio between the concentration of P2 precursor [P2] and mature, anchored Seb-Spa490-524 [M].

Addition of vancomycin, penicillin or moenomycin reduced the growth rate of staphylococci as compared to a mock treated control. While the rate of cell wall sorting

precursor cleavage remained constant during the growth of mock treated staphylococci, the addition of vancomycin led to a steady accumulation of P2 precursor, indicating that this compound caused a reduction of the sorting reaction. A similar, albeit weaker effect was observed when moenomycin was added to staphylococcal cultures. In contrast, penicillin G
5 did not alter the rate of cell wall sorting. As expected, all three antibiotics diminished the rate of peptidoglycan synthesis (Table II). Together these data revealed that vancomycin and moenomycin cause a reduction in the rate of cell wall sorting, while penicillin had no effect on surface protein anchoring.

Cell wall sorting in staphylococcal protoplasts

10 Previous work revealed that protoplasts, generated by muralytic digestion of staphylococci or penicillin selection of streptococcal L forms, secreted surface protein into the surrounding medium (van de Rijn, I. and Fischetti, V. A. (1981) *Infect. Immun.* 32, 86-91; Movitz, J. (1976) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 68, 291-299). This can be explained in two ways. Either
15 the C-terminal sorting signals cannot retain surface proteins in the envelope of protoplasts or the presence of intact, assembled cell wall is not required to cleave sorting signals at their LPXTG motif. To distinguish between these possibilities, the surface protein anchoring in intact bacteria and staphylococcal protoplasts was measured (Figure 10). Wild-type staphylococci cleaved the Seb-Cws-BlaZ precursor to generate the mature, anchored NH₂-terminal Seb and COOH-terminal, cytoplasmic BlaZ fragments (Navarre, W. W. and
20 Schneewind, O. (1994) *Mol. Microbiol.* 14, 115-121). When tested in staphylococcal protoplasts generated by lysostaphin-digestion of the cell wall, precursor cleavage occurred similar to whole cells, indicating that the presence of mature, assembled cell wall is not required for cleavage of sorting signals. Unique sorting products in protoplasts that migrated more slowly than mature, anchored Seb (see arrow in Figure 10B) were observed. As these
25 species were immunoprecipitated with a-Seb but not with a-BlaZ, they likely represent products of the sorting reaction. The COOH-terminal anchor structure of these protoplast species are distinct from those generated by lysostaphin-digestion (three glycyl attached to the carboxyl of threonine), as they migrated more slowly on SDS-PAGE than lysostaphin-released Seb.

30 To examine whether all cleaved Seb fragments were released into the extra-cellular medium, pulse-labeled protoplasts were sedimented by centrifugation and separated from the extra-cellular medium in the supernatant. All Seb-Cws-BlaZ precursor and COOH-

terminal BlaZ cleavage fragment sedimented with the protoplasts. In contrast, NH₂-terminal Seb fragments that migrated at the same speed as Seb released by lysostaphin-digestion from the cell wall of intact staphylococci were soluble in the culture medium. Some, but not all, of the more slowly migrating Seb species sedimented into the pellet, suggesting that these products of the sorting reaction may be attached to protoplast membranes. No precursor cleavage was observed for Seb-CwsDLPXTG-BlaZ in either whole cells or staphylococcal protoplasts.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids

Plasmids pSeb-Spa490-524(3), pSeb-Csw-BlaZ, and pSeb-CwsDLPXTG-BlaZ (Navarre, W. W. and Schneewind, O. (1994) *Mol. Microbiol.* **14**, 115-121) were transformed into *S. aureus* OS2 (*spa:ermC*, *r*⁻) (Schneewind, O., Model, P. and Fischetti, V. A. (1992) *Cell* **70**, 267-281) and have been described previously. Staphylococci were generally grown in tryptic soy broth or agar. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma unless indicated otherwise.

Characterization of Cell Wall Sorting Intermediates

S. aureus OS2 (pSeb-Spa490-524) was grown overnight in CDM (van de Rijn, I. and Kessler, R. E. (1980) *Infect. Immun.* **27**, 444-448) (Jeol BioSciences) supplemented with chloramphenicol (10 mg/ml), diluted 1:10 into minimal medium and grown with shaking at 37°C until A₆₀₀ 0.6. Cells were labeled with 100 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 1 minute. Labeling was quenched by the addition of an excess non-radioactive amino acid [50 ml chase (100 mg/ml casamino acids, 20 mg/ml methionine and cysteine)]. At timed intervals after the addition of the chase, 0, 1, 3, and 10 minutes, 250 ml aliquots were removed and protein was precipitated by the addition of 250 ml 10% TCA. The precipitate was sedimented by centrifugation 15,000 x g for 10 min, washed with 1 ml acetone and dried. Samples were suspended in 1 ml of 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 and staphylococcal peptidoglycan was digested by adding 50 ml lysostaphin (Schindler, C. A. and Schuhardt, V. T. (1964) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **51**, 414-421) (100 mg, AMBI Pharmaceuticals) and incubating for 1 hour at 37°C. Proteins were again precipitated with TCA, washed with acetone and subjected to immunoprecipitation with a-Seb followed by SDS-PAGE and PhosphorImager analysis. To characterize the P1 and P2 precursors, 1 ml of

culture was either incubated with 5 mM sodium azide for 5 min prior to labeling or 5 mM MTSET was added 15 seconds after the beginning of the pulse.

Antibiotic Inhibition of Cell Wall Sorting

5 Overnight cultures of *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-Spa490-524) grown in CDM were diluted into fresh minimal medium and incubated for until A_{600} 0.3. Cultures were then treated with either penicillin (10 mg/ml), vancomycin (10 mg/ml), moenomycin (10 mg/ml) or left untreated. A 0.5 ml culture sample was removed for pulse labeling with 100 mCi of [35 S]-Promix (Amersham) for 5 minutes. Labeling was quenched and proteins precipitated
10 by the addition of 0.5 ml 10% TCA. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed in acetone and dried under vacuum. The pellets were suspended in 1 ml 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 50 ml lysostaphin (100 mg/ml, AMBI Pharmaceuticals) added and the staphylococcal cell wall digested by incubating for 1 hour at 37°C. Proteins were precipitated with TCA, washed in acetone, dried and solubilized in 50 ml 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 4% SDS and
15 boiled for 10 min. Aliquots of solubilized surface protein were immunoprecipitated with a-Seb followed by SDS-PAGE and PhosphorImager analysis.

Peptidoglycan Synthesis Measurements

 Staphylococci were grown in the presence or absence of antibiotics as described above. At 30 min intervals, 0.5 ml culture samples were withdrawn and labeled
20 with either 50 mCi [3 H]lysine or 50 mCi [3 H]leucine for 20 min (Boothby, D., Daneo-Moore, L. and Shockman, G. D. (1971) *Anal. Biochem.* **44**, 645-653). All labeling was quenched by the addition of 0.5 ml 20% TCA. Samples were heated to 96°C for 30 min, cooled to room temperature and pipetted onto glass fiber filters. The filters were placed into a holder and washed under vacuum suction with 25 ml 75% ethanol and 2 ml 50 mM Tris-
25 HCl, pH 7.8. After incubation in 5 ml pronase solution (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 1 mg/ml pronase) at 30°C for 30 min, filters were washed again with 4 ml of distilled water and 4 ml ethanol. The amount of radioactivity retained by the filter was determined by scintillation counting (Boothby, D., Daneo-Moore, L. and Shockman, G. D. (1971) *Anal. Biochem.* **44**, 645-653).

Chemical Inhibitors of the Sorting Reaction

- S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-Spa490-524) was grown overnight in CDM supplemented with chloramphenicol (10 mg/ml), diluted 1:10 into minimal medium and grown with shaking at 37°C until *A*₆₀₀ 0.6. Cells were labeled with 100 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 5 minutes. Chemicals were added to a final concentration of 5 mM 15 seconds after the beginning of the pulse. All labeling was quenched by adding TCA to 10%. Precipitated cells and proteins were collected by centrifugation, washed in acetone and the staphylococcal cell wall digested with lysostaphin as described above. The digests were again precipitated with TCA, immunoprecipitated with a-Seb followed by SDS-PAGE and PhosphorImager analysis.

Cell Wall Sorting in Staphylococcal Protoplasts

Overnight cultures of *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-Cws-BlaZ) or *S. aureus* OS2 (pSeb-CwsDLPXTG-BlaZ) grown in CDM were diluted 1:10 into minimal medium and grown with shaking at 37°C until *A*₆₀₀ 0.6. One ml of culture was pulse-labeled with 100
5 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 2 minutes and labeling was quenched by the addition of 50 ml chase solution. Culture aliquots (0.5 ml) were removed for TCA precipitation either during the pulse or 20 min after the addition of chase. Another culture aliquot was first converted to protoplasts and then subjected to labeling. The cells were sedimented by centrifugation at 15,000 xg for 5 min and suspended in 1 ml 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.4 M sucrose,
10 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5. The cell wall was digested with lysostaphin (100 mg) for 30 min at 37°C. The protoplasts were labeled with 100 mCi of [³⁵S]-Promix (Amersham) for 2 minutes and labeling quenched by the addition of 50 ml chase solution. For sedimentation analysis, pulse-labeled staphylococci were centrifuged at 15,000 xg for 10 min to separate soluble surface protein from those that were bound to protoplasts. All samples were
15 precipitated with TCA, washed in acetone and suspended in 50 ml 4% SDS, 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5 with boiling for 10 min. Aliquots of solubilized surface protein precursor and anchored products were immunoprecipitated with α-Seb and α-BlaZ, subjected to SDS-PAGE and PhosphorImager analysis.

EXAMPLE 3

Purification and Characterization of Sortase-Transpeptidase

To examine whether staphylococcal sortase captures surface proteins after their cleavage at the LPXTG motif as acyl-enzyme intermediates, the proposed acyl-enzyme intermediates between surface protein and sortase were treated by hydroxylaminolysis (P. Lawrence and J. L. Strominger, *J. Biol. Chem.* **245**, 3653 (1970); J. W. Kozarich, N. Tokuzo, E. Willoughby, J. L. Strominger, *J. Biol. Chem.* **252**, 7525 (1977)). In this model, the sulfhydryl of sortase may function as a nucleophile at the peptide bond between threonine and glycine, thereby forming a thioester with the carboxyl of threonine and releasing the amino of glycine (Figure 8A). Lipmann first used hydroxylamine to demonstrate the existence of acyl-enzyme intermediates as this strong nucleophile attacks thioester to form hydroxamate with carboxyl, thereby regenerating enzyme sulfhydryl (F. Lipmann and L. C. Tuttle, *J. Biol. Chem.* **161**, 415 (1945)).

Hydroxylaminolysis of Surface Proteins

Hydroxylaminolysis of surface proteins was examined by pulse-labeling staphylococci with [³⁵S]methionine in either the presence or absence of 0.2 M NH₂OH. Cultures were labeled with [³⁵S]methionine and divided into two aliquots, each of which was precipitated with 5% TCA. One sample was boiled in hot SDS, whereas the other was first treated with lysostaphin to release all anchored surface protein, and then boiled in hot SDS. Surface protein (SEB-SPA490-524) of mock treated staphylococci was insoluble in hot SDS (3.8%) unless the peptidoglycan had been digested with lysostaphin prior to boiling in SDS (100%)(Figure 12A). Addition of 0.2 M NH₂OH caused 25.3% of all labeled SEB-SPA490-524 to be released into the extra-cellular medium and to be soluble in hot SDS. This phenomenon was not strain specific as *S. aureus* OS2 and *S. aureus* BB270 displayed similar amounts of surface protein hydroxylaminolysis.

If the solubility of surface proteins in hot SDS is caused by hydroxylaminolysis of acyl-enzyme intermediates, addition of NH₂OH after the pulse labeling of staphylococci should not release SEB-SPA490-524 as this polypeptide is rapidly anchored to the cell wall. Addition of NH₂OH either before or during the pulse with [³⁵S]methionine released surface proteins into the extra-cellular medium (16.9% and 12.7%,

respectively) (Figure 12B). Very little SDS-soluble SEB-SPA490-524 was detected when NH_2OH was added after the pulse (4%). Increasing the amount of NH_2OH prior to pulse-labeling resulted in increased amounts of released surface proteins (Figure 12C).

Characterization of NH_2OH -released Surface Proteins

5 Hydroxylaminolysis of sortase acyl-intermediates should result in the formation of surface protein hydroxamate at the threonine of the LPXTG motif. To characterize NH_2OH -released surface protein, staphylococci (10^{13} cfu) expressing the surface protein SEB-MH6-CWS (H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 22285 (1997)) were incubated in the presence or absence of 0.1 M NH_2OH . Samples
10 were centrifuged to sediment bacteria and SEB-MH6-CWS was purified from the supernatant by affinity chromatography and analyzed on Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE. Treatment with 0.1 M NH_2OH caused the release of SEB-MH6-CWS by *S. aureus* strains OS2 and BB270 (Figure 13A). SEB-MH6-CWS purified from strain BB270 was cleaved at methionine with cyanogen bromide. COOH-terminal peptides bearing anchor structures were purified by
15 affinity chromatography and analyzed by rpHPLC (H. Ton-That, K. F. Faull, O. Schneewind, *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 22285 (1997)). The chromatogram of anchor peptides released from mock treated bacteria revealed a major absorbance peak at 29% CH_3CN (Figure 13B). The sample was subjected to electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) and a compound with an average mass of 2236 Da was detected. This measurement is consistent
20 with the structure of anchor peptide linked to a branched cell wall tetrapeptide [L-Ala-D-iGln-L-Lys(NH_2 -H₆AQALPET-Gly₅)-D-Ala, predicted mass 2235]. This surface protein species is not linked to the glycan strands of the staphylococcal cell wall and is therefore released into the culture medium. The chromatogram of anchor peptides released by treatment with 0.1 M NH_2OH revealed a major absorbance peak at 32% CH_3CN (Figure
25 13C). ESI-MS identified a compound with the average mass of 1548 Da. When subjected to Edman degradation, the peptide sequence NH_2 -H₆AQALPET* was obtained, in which the thirteenth cleavage cycle released a phenylthiohydantoin moiety of unknown structure. The predicted mass of NH_2 -H₆AQALPET> (T> indicates threonine hydroxamate) is 1565 Da, 17 Da more than the observed mass of 1548 Da. Fractions of both chromatograms were scanned
30 by rpHPLC for the presence of ion signals with an average mass of 1548, 1565 or 2236. rpHPLC fractions of anchor peptides from mock-treated cultures contained the compound

with mass 2236, however no ions of the predicted mass 1548 or 1565 were detected. In contrast, rpHPLC fractions collected from anchor peptides of NH₂OH-treated staphylococci harbored compounds with an average mass of 1548 Da (NH₂-H₆AQALPET*, 32% CH₃CN) and 1565 Da (NH₂-H₆AQALPET>, 31% CH₃CN), but not the anchor peptide of 2235 Da.

- 5 Thus, treatment with 0.1 M NH₂OH released surface protein from staphylococci as a hydroxamate of the threonine within the LPXTG motif, suggesting that sortase forms an acyl-enzyme intermediate with cleaved surface protein. The peptide NH₂-H₆AQALPET> appears to be unstable during our purification, thereby generating NH₂-H₆AQALPET* with a loss of 17 Da at the threonine hydroxamate.

10 Analysis of Sortase Hydroxylaminolysis Activity *In Vitro* in the Presence of NH₂OH

- If NH₂OH can release surface protein from staphylococci *in vivo*, sortase may catalyze the cleavage of LPXTG motif bearing peptides in the presence of NH₂OH *in vitro*. Fluorescence of the EDANS fluorophore within the peptide DABCYL-QALPETGEE-EDANS is quenched by the close proximity of DABCYL (G. T. Wang, E. Matayoshi, H. J. Huffaker, G. A. Krafft, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **31**, 6493 (1990)). When the peptide is cleaved and the
15 fluorophore separated from DABCYL, an increase in fluorescence is observed (E. D. Matayoshi, G. T. Wang, G. A. Krafft, J. Erickson, *Science* **247**, 954 (1989)). Incubation of the LPXTG peptide with crude staphylococcal extracts caused only a small increase in fluorescence. However, the addition of 0.1 M NH₂OH to staphylococcal extracts resulted in
20 a forty fold increase in fluorescence intensity (Figure 14). This activity appears to be specific for sortase as it can be inhibited by pre-incubation of staphylococcal extracts with methanethiosulfonate (MTSET) (D. J. Smith, E. T. Maggio, G. L. Kenyon, *Biochemistry* **14**, 764 (1975), a known inhibitor of the sorting reaction. These results suggest that sortase catalyzes the hydroxylaminolysis of LPXTG peptide *in vitro*. Thus, surface protein is cleaved
25 between the threonine and the glycine of the LPXTG motif, resulting in the formation of a NH₂OH-sensitive thioester linkage between the carboxyl of threonine and the active site sulfhydryl of sortase. *In vivo*, the acyl-enzyme intermediate is resolved by a nucleophilic attack of the amino within the pentaglycine crossbridge. Recent observations suggest that the pentaglycine crossbridge of the lipid II precursor functions as a nucleophile for the sorting
30 reaction. We show here that hydroxylamine can substitute for pentaglycine both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Purification and Characterization of Sortase

When expressed in *E. coli* and analyzed by centrifugation of crude lysates, the staphylococcal SrtA protein sedimented with membranes. To obtain a soluble enzyme and to examine its properties, the NH₂-terminal membrane anchor segment of SrtA was replaced with a six histidine tag (SrtADN). SrtADN was expressed in *E. coli* XL-1Blue and purified by affinity chromatography from cleared lysates. When incubated with the LPXTG peptide and measured as an increase in fluorescence, SrtADN catalyzed cleavage of the substrate. Addition of 0.2 M NH₂OH to this reaction resulted in an increase in fluorescence, indicating that cleavage of the LPXTG peptide occurred more efficiently. Hydroxylaminolysis of LPXTG peptide was dependent on the sulfhydryl of SrtADN as pre-incubation with MTSET abolished all enzymatic activity. Methanethiosulfonate forms disulfide with sulfhydryl (D. J. Smith, E. T. Maggio, G. L. Kenyon, *Biochemistry* 14, 764 (1975); M. H. Akabas and A. Karlin, *Biochemistry* 34, 12496 (1995)) which can be reversed by reducing reagents such as dithiothreitol (DTT) (R. Pathak, T. L. Hendrickson, B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry* 34, 4179 (1995)). MTSET-inactivated SrtADN was incubated in the presence of 10 mM DTT, which restored 80% of LPXTG peptide cleavage activity. The availability of purified, soluble sortase (SrtADN) and an *in vitro* assay for the hydroxylaminolysis of LPXTG peptide should allow the screening for compounds that interfere with the anchoring of surface protein in Gram-positive bacteria. Such compounds may be useful for the therapy of human infections with Gram-positive bacteria that have gained resistance to all known antibiotics.

Materials and Methods

Pulse-Chase Screen of Hydroxylaminolysis of surface proteins

Staphylococci were grown in minimal medium until OD₆₀₀ 0.6 and pulse-labeled with 100 µCi Pro-Mix ([³⁵S] methionine and cysteine) for 1 min. Incorporation of radio-label into polypeptides was quenched by the addition of 50 µl chase solution (100 mg/ml casamino acids, 20 mg/ml methionine and cysteine) and incubation was continued at 37°C for 5 min. Two 0.5 ml aliquots of labeled culture were each precipitated with 0.5 ml 10% TCA, washed in acetone and dried under vacuum. One sample was suspended in 50 µl 0.5 M Tris, 4% SDS and boiled. The other sample was first suspended in 1 ml 0.5 M Tris pH 7.0 and the cell wall digested for 1 hour at 37°C by adding 50 µl 2 mg/ml lysostaphin. The sample was precipitated with 75 µl 100% TCA, washed in acetone, dried and then boiled in

SDS. Aliquots were subjected to immunoprecipitation with a-SEB and analyzed after SDS-PAGE on PhosphorImager.

Purification of NH₂OH Surface Proteins

5 Staphylococci (10^{13} cells) were incubated in 200 ml 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0 with or without 0.1 M NH₂OH for 60 min. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 xg for 15 min and the supernatants applied to 1 ml Ni-NTA column, pre-equilibrated with column buffer (CB, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). The column was washed first with 20 ml CB and 20 ml CB containing 10% glycerol and eluted with 4 ml of column buffer and 0.5
10 imidazol. Aliquots were mixed with sample buffer and separated on SDS-PAGE. The eluate was precipitated with TFA (10%), washed in acetone and dried under vacuum. The sample was suspended in 600 µl 70% formic acid and, after addition of a crystal of cyanogen bromide, incubated overnight. Cleaved peptides were repeatedly dried and suspended in water to evaporate cyanogen bromide, solubilized in 1 ml buffer A and subjected to affinity
15 chromatography as previously described. Peptides were eluted in 4 ml of 6 M guanidine-hydrochloride, 0.2 M acetic acid, desalted over C18 cartridge and dried. Pellets were solubilized in 50 µl buffer B (8 M urea, 50 mM phosphate, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.3) and subjected to rpHPLC on C18 column (Hypersil, Keystone Scientific) with a linear gradient from 1%-99% CH₃CN in 0.1% TFA in 90 minutes. MALDI-MS and ESI-MS was
20 performed as described (H. Ton-That, K.F. Faull, O. Schneewind (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:22285-22292).

Identification of peptide structure by Mass Spectrometry

 The structure of the peptides with mass 1548 and 1565 was determined by tandem mass spectrometry, MS/MS using the parent ions. Collisionally induced dissociation
25 of the parent ions produced daughter ion spectra consistent with compound structures NH₂-H₆AQALPET> (T> is threonine hydroxamate, predicted compound mass 1565) and NH₂-H₆AQALPET* (T* represents a loss of 17 Da of threonine hydroxamate; the structure of this residue is unknown).

Assay of Sortase activity by Fluorescent Assay

Reactions were assembled in a volume of 120 μ l containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. The concentration of LPXTG peptide substrate (DABCYL-QALPETGEE-EDANS) was 10 μ M, of MTSET 5 mM, of NH_2OH 0.2 M. Staphylococcal
5 cell extracts were obtained by subjecting 1013 cells to disruption in a bead beater instrument. The crude extract was subjected to slow speed centrifugation at 3,000 xg for 15 min to remove beads and intact cells. A 10 μ l aliquot of the supernatant, containing approximately 50 mg/ml protein, was used as enzyme preparation. Incubations were carried out for 1 hour at 37°C, followed by centrifugation of the sample at 15,000 xg for 5 min. The supernatant
10 was subjected to analysis in a fluorimeter using 395 nm for excitation and 495 nm for recordings.

Purification of Sortase by Addition of Histidine Tag

The primers orf6N-ds-B (5'-AAAGGATCCAAACCACATATCGATAATTATC-3') and orf6C-dT-B (5'-
15 AAAGGATCCTTTGACTTCTGTAGCTACAAAG-3') were used to PCR amplify the *srtA* sequence from the chromosome of *S. aureus* OS2. The DNA fragment was cut with *Bam*HI, inserted into pQE16 (Qiagen) cut *Bam*HI to generate pHTT5, transformed into *E. coli* XL-1 Blue and selected on Luria broth with ampicillin (100 μ g/ml). *E. coli* XL-1 Blue (pHTT5) (10^{12} cells) were suspended in 30 ml C buffer (50 mM Bis-Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 10%
20 glycerol, pH 7.2) and lysed by one passage through a French pressure cell at 14,000 psi. The extract was centrifuged at 29,000 xg for 30 min and the supernatant applied to 1 ml Ni-NTA resin, pre-equilibrated with C buffer. The column was washed with 40 ml C buffer and SrtAD_N protein was eluted in 4 ml C buffer with 0.5 M imidazol at a concentration of 30 μ g/ μ l.

25 Reactions were assembled in a volume of 260 μ l containing 50 mM Hepes buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5 and as indicated 5 μ M SrtAD_N in 50 mM BisTris, pH 7.5, 10 μ M LPXTG peptide (DABCYL-QALPETGEE-EDANS), 10 μ M TGXLP peptide (DABCYL-QATGELPEE-EDANS), 5 mM MTSET, 0.2 M NH_2OH , 5 mM pHMB or 10 mM DTT. Incubations were carried out for 1 hour at 37°C. Samples were analyzed in a
30 fluorimeter using 395 nm for excitation and 495 nm for recordings.

ADVANTAGES OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

In isolating and characterizing the gene for the *S. aureus* sortase-transamidase enzyme, we have determined the existence of a new site for antibiotic action that can be used to screen new antibiotics active against Gram-positive pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus*,
5 *Actinomyces*, *Mycobacterium*, *Streptococcus*, *Bacillus*, and other medically important Gram-positive pathogens increasingly resistant to conventional antibiotics. The availability of substantially purified *S. aureus* sortase-transamidase enzyme provides a method of screening compounds for inhibition of the enzyme.

The purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of the present invention also yields
10 a method of surface display of peptides and proteins that has advantages over phage display, as well as providing methods for producing vaccines against a large variety of antigens that can be covalently bound to the surfaces of Gram-positive bacteria.

Although the present invention has been described with considerable detail,
with reference to certain preferred versions thereof, other versions and embodiments are
15 possible. Therefore, the scope of the invention is determined by the following claims.

TABLE I

Inhibition of the sorting reaction by methanethiosulfonates and organic mercurial

The sorting reaction was measured as the ratio between the amount of pulse-labeled Seb-Spa490-524 P2 precursor [P2] and the mature, anchored species processed at the LPXTG motif [M].

Compound (5 mM)	[P2]/[M]
[2-(trimethylammonium)ethyl]methanethiosulfonate (MTSET)	23.14 ± 0.06 ^a
(2-sulfonatoethyl)methanethiosulfonate (MTSES)	1.61 ± 0.03
p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid (pHMB)	1.51 ± 0.04
phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF)	0.16 ± 0.05
N-ethylmaleimide	0.16 ± 0.05
iodoacetamide	0.12 ± 0.01
iodoacetic acid	0.13 ± 0.02
2-mercaptoethanol	0.15 ± 0.04
dithiothreitol (DTT)	0.13 ± 0.03
zinc chloride (ZnCl ₂)	0.32 ± 0.02
calcium chloride (CaCl ₂)	0.06 ± 0.05
magnesium chloride (MgCl ₂)	0.13 ± 0.01
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)	0.31 ± 0.04
mock treated	0.15 ± 0.02

^aData represent an average of three measurements. The standard deviation is indicated as ±.

TABLE II

Antibiotic inhibition of cell wall synthesis and the effect on cell wall sorting

The cell wall sorting reaction was measured as the ratio between the amount of pulse-labeled Seb-Cws-BlaZ precursor [P] and the mature, anchored species processed at the LPXTG motif

- 5 [C]. Cell wall synthesis was measured as the ratio between the amount of [^3H]lysine and that of [^3H]leucine incorporated into the acid precipitable, pronase resistant peptidoglycan. The data are presented as percent inhibition.

Compound	[P2]/[M] ^a	fold inhibition of cell wall synthesis ^a
vancomycin (10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)	0.47 \pm 0.04	9.5
moenomycin (10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)	0.24 \pm 0.04	1.6
penicillin (10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)	0.10 \pm 0.01	3.3
untreated	0.15 \pm 0.02	-

^aData were collected from cultures that were grown for 60 min in the presence of antibiotics.

We claim:

1. A substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium, the enzyme catalyzing a reaction that covalently cross-links the carboxyl terminus of a protein having a sorting signal to the peptidoglycan of a Gram-positive bacterium, the sorting signal having a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein, wherein sorting occurs by cleavage between the fourth and fifth residues of the LPX₃X₄G motif.
2. The substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1 wherein the Gram-positive bacterium is a species selected from the group consisting of *S. aureus*, *S. sobrinus*, *B. subtilis*, *E. faecalis*, *S. pyogenes*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *L. monocytogenes*.
3. The substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 2 wherein the Gram-positive bacterium is *Staphylococcus aureus*.
4. The substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1 wherein the enzyme has a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons.
5. The substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 4 wherein the sorting signal further comprises: (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine.
6. The enzyme of claim 1 wherein the enzyme includes therein an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: (1) M-K-K-W-T-N-R-L-M-T-I-A-G-V-V-L-I-L-V-A-A-Y-L-F-A-K-P-H-I-D-N-Y-L-H-D-K-D-K-D-E-K-I-E-Q-Y-D-K-N-V-K-E-Q-A-S-K-D-K-K-Q-Q-A-K-P-Q-I-P-K-D-K-S-K-V-A-G-Y-I-E-I-P-D-A-D-I-K-E-P-V-Y-P-G-P-A-T-P-E-Q-L-N-R-G-V-S-F-A-E-E-N-E-S-L-D-D-Q-N-I-S-I-A-G-H-T-F-I-D-R-P-N-Y-Q-F-T-N-L-K-A-A-K-K-G-S-M-V-Y-F-K-V-G-N-E-T-R-K-Y-K-M-T-S-I-R-D-V-K-P-T-D-V-G-V-L-D-E-Q-K-G-K-D-K-Q-L-T-L-I-T-C-D-D-Y-N-E-K-T-G-V-W-E-K-R-K-I-F-V-A-T-E-V-K (SEQ ID NO: 3); and (2) sequences incorporating one or more conservative amino

acid substitutions in SEQ ID NO:3, wherein the conservative amino acid substitutions are any of the following: (1) any of isoleucine, leucine, and valine for any other of these amino acids; (2) aspartic acid for glutamic acid and vice versa; (3) glutamine for asparagine and vice versa; and (4) serine for threonine and vice versa.

- 5 7. The enzyme of claim 6 wherein the amino acid sequence is M-K-K-W-T-N-R-L-M-T-I-A-G-V-V-L-I-L-V-A-A-Y-L-F-A-K-P-H-I-D-N-Y-L-H-D-K-D-K-D-E-K-I-E-Q-Y-D-K-N-V-K-E-Q-A-S-K-D-K-K-Q-Q-A-K-P-Q-I-P-K-D-K-S-K-V-A-G-Y-I-E-I-P-D-A-D-I-K-E-P-V-Y-P-G-P-A-T-P-E-Q-L-N-R-G-V-S-F-A-E-E-N-E-S-L-D-D-Q-N-I-S-I-A-G-H-T-F-I-D-R-P-N-Y-Q-F-T-N-L-K-A-A-K-K-G-S-M-V-Y-F-K-V-G-N-E-T-R-K-Y-K-M-
10 T-S-I-R-D-V-K-P-T-D-V-G-V-L-D-E-Q-K-G-K-D-K-Q-L-T-L-I-T-C-D-D-Y-N-E-K-T-G-V-W-E-K-R-K-I-F-V-A-T-E-V-K (SEQ ID NO: 3).

8. A nucleic acid sequence encoding the enzyme of claim 6.

9. A nucleic acid sequence encoding the enzyme of claim 7.

10. A nucleic acid sequence encoding a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium, the enzyme having a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons and catalyzing a reaction that covalently cross-links the carboxyl terminus of a protein having a sorting signal to the peptidoglycan of a Gram-positive bacterium, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine, and wherein sorting occurs by cleavage between the fourth and fifth residues of the LPX₃X₄G motif, wherein the nucleic acid sequence includes therein a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (1)
- ATGAAAAAATGGACAAATCGATTAATGACAATCGCTGGTGTGGTACTTATCCTAG
TGGCAGCATATTTGTTTGCTAAACCACATATCGATAATTATCTTCACGATAAAGA
TAAAGATGAAAAGATTGAACAATATGATAAAAATGTAAAAGAACAGGCGAGTA
AAGATAAAAAGCAGCAAGCTAAACCTCAAATTCCGAAAGATAAATCGAAAGTGG
CAGGCTATATTGAAATTCCAGATGCTGATATTAAAGAACCAGTATATCCAGGACC
AGCAACACCTGAACAATTAAATAGAGGTGTAAGCTTTGCAGAAGAAAATGAATC
ACTAGATGATCAAAATATTTCAATTGCAGGACACACTTTCATTGACCGTCCGAAC
TATCAATTTACAAATCTTAAAGCAGCCAAAAAAGGTAGTATGGTGTACTTTAAAG
TTGGTAATGAAACACGTAAGTATAAAATGACAAGTATAAGAGATGTTAAGCCTA
CAGATGTAGGAGTTCTAGATGAACAAAAAGGTAAAGATAAACAATTAACATTAA
TTACTTGTGATGATTACAATGAAAAGACAGGCGTTTGGGAAAAACGTAAAATCTT
TGTAGCTACAGAAGTCAAATAA (SEQ ID NO: 2); or (2) a sequence complementary to
SEQ ID NO: 2.

11. A nucleic acid sequence encoding a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium, the enzyme having a molecular weight of about 23,539 daltons and catalyzing a reaction that covalently cross-links the carboxyl terminus of a protein having a sorting signal to the peptidoglycan of a Gram-positive bacterium, the sorting signal having (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine, and wherein sorting occurs by cleavage between the fourth and fifth residues of the LPX₃X₄G motif, wherein the nucleic acid sequence hybridizes with a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (1)
- ATGAAAAAATGGACAAATCGATTAATGACAATCGCTGGTGTGGTACTTATCCTAG
 TGGCAGCATATTTGTTTGCTAAACCACATATCGATAATTATCTTCACGATAAAGA
 TAAAGATGAAAAGATTGAACAATATGATAAAAATGTAAAAGAACAGGCGAGTA
 AAGATAAAAAGCAGCAAGCTAAACCTCAAATTCCGAAAGATAAATCGAAAGTGG
 CAGGCTATATTGAAATTCCAGATGCTGATATTAAAGAACCAGTATATCCAGGACC
 AGCAACACCTGAACAATTAAATAGAGGTGTAAGCTTTGCAGAAGAAAATGAATC
 ACTAGATGATCAAAATATTTCAATTGCAGGACACACTTTCATTGACCGTCCGAAC
 TATCAATTTACAAATCTTAAAGCAGCCAAAAAAGGTAGTATGGTGTACTTTAAAG
 TTGGTAATGAAACACGTAAGTATAAAATGACAAGTATAAGAGATGTTAAGCCTA
 CAGATGTAGGAGTTCTAGATGAACAAAAAGGTAAAGATAAACAATTAACATTAA
 TTAAGTGTGATGATTACAATGAAAAGACAGGCGTTTGGGAAAAACGTAAAATCTT
 TGTAGCTACAGAAGTCAAATAA (SEQ ID NO: 2) or (2) a sequence complementary to
 SEQ ID NO: 2, with no greater than about a 15% mismatch under stringent conditions.

12. The nucleic acid sequence of claim 11 wherein the mismatch is no greater than about 5%.

13. The nucleic acid sequence of claim 11 wherein the mismatch is no greater than about 2%.

14. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 8 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

5 15. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 9 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

16. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 10 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

10 17. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 11 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

18. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 14.

19. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 15.

15 20. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 16.

21. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 17.

22. A method for producing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme comprising the steps of:

20 (a) culturing the host cell of claim 18 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the encoded sortase-transamidase enzyme; and

(b) purifying the expressed enzyme to produce substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

23. A method for producing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme comprising the steps of:

25 (a) culturing the host cell of claim 19 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the encoded sortase-transamidase enzyme; and

(b) purifying the expressed enzyme to produce substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

24. A method for producing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme comprising the steps of:

(a) culturing the host cell of claim 20 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the encoded sortase-transamidase enzyme; and

5 (b) purifying the expressed enzyme to produce substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

25. A method for producing a substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme comprising the steps of:

10 (a) culturing the host cell of claim 21 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the encoded sortase-transamidase enzyme; and

(b) purifying the expressed enzyme to produce substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme.

26. Substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme produced by the process of claim 22.

15 27. Substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme produced by the process of claim 23.

28. Substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme produced by the process of claim 24.

20 29. Substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme produced by the process of claim 25.

30. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1;

25 (b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

31. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 3;

5 (b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

10 32. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 26;

15 (b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

20 33. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 27;

(b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

25 (c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

34. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

30 (a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 28;

(b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase
5 activity.

35. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 29;

5 (b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

10 36. A method for screening a compound for anti-sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) providing an active fraction of sortase-transamidase enzyme from a Gram-positive bacterium;

15 (b) performing an assay for sortase-transamidase in the presence and in the absence of the compound; and

(c) comparing the activity of the sortase-transamidase enzyme in the presence and in the absence of the compound to screen the compound for sortase-transamidase activity.

20 37. The method of claim 36 wherein the active fraction of sortase-transamidase enzyme is a particulate fraction from *Staphylococcus aureus*.

38. The method of claim 36 wherein the assay for sortase-transamidase enzyme is performed by monitoring the capture of a soluble peptide that is a substrate for the enzyme by its interaction with an affinity resin.

25 39. The method of claim 38 wherein the soluble peptide includes a sequence of at least six histidine residues and the affinity resin contains nickel.

40. The method of claim 38 wherein the soluble peptide includes the active site of glutathione S-transferase and the affinity resin contains glutathione.

41. The method of claim 38 wherein the soluble peptide includes the active site of streptavidin and the affinity resin contains biotin.

42. The method of claim 38 wherein the soluble peptide includes the active site of maltose binding protein and the affinity resin contains amylose.

43. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1.

5 44. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 3.

45. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 26.

10 46. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 27.

47. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 28.

48. An antibody specifically binding the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 29.

15 49. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column through the histidine residues added at the carboxyl-terminus.

20 50. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 3 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column through the histidine residues added at the carboxyl-terminus.

25 51. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 26 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column.

52. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 27 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column.

5 53. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 28 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column.

10 54. A protein molecule comprising the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 29 extended at its carboxyl-terminus with a sufficient number of histidine residues to allow specific binding of the protein molecule to a nickel-sepharose column.

55. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase of claim 1; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄ motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

56. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase of claim 3; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

57. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 26; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

58. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 27; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

59. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 28; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

60. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a polypeptide having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed polypeptide; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 29; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide; and
- (c) allowing the sortase-transamidase to catalyze a reaction that cleaves the polypeptide within the LPX₃X₄G motif of the sorting signal and covalently cross-links the amino-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide to the peptidoglycan to display the polypeptide on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium.

61. A method for displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

- (a) cloning a nucleic acid segment encoding a chimeric protein into a Gram-positive bacterium to generate a cloned chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal, the chimeric protein including the polypeptide to be displayed, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) growing the bacterium into which the nucleic acid segment has been cloned to express the cloned chimeric protein to generate a chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal; and
- (c) binding the polypeptide covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand.

62. A polypeptide displayed on the surface of a Gram-positive bacterium by covalent linkage of an amino-acid sequence of LPX₃X₄ derived from cleavage of an LPX₃X₄G motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine, the polypeptide being displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand.

63. A covalent complex comprising:

- (a) the polypeptide of claim 62; and
- (b) an antigen or hapten covalently cross-linked to the polypeptide.

5 64. The covalent complex of claim 63 wherein an antigen is covalently cross-linked to the polypeptide.

65. The covalent complex of claim 63 wherein a hapten is covalently cross-linked to the peptide.

66. A method for vaccination of an animal comprising the step of immunizing the animal with the displayed polypeptide of claim 62 to generate an immune response
10 against the displayed polypeptide.

67. A method for vaccination of an animal comprising the step of immunizing the animal with the covalent complex of claim 63 to generate an immune response against the antigen or hapten of the covalent complex.

68. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 1; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

69. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein;
(2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and
(3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 3; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

70. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein;
5 (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and
(3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 26; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

71. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein;
(2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and
(3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 27; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

72. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 28; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

73. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- (a) expressing a cloned polypeptide as a chimeric protein having a sorting signal at its carboxy-terminal end, the sorting signal having:
 - (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein;
 - 5 (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and
 - (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from
 - 10 the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) forming a reaction mixture including: (i) the expressed chimeric protein; (ii) the substantially purified sortase-transamidase enzyme of claim 29; and (iii) a Gram-positive bacterium having a peptidoglycan to which the sortase-transamidase can link the polypeptide through the sorting signal;
- 15 (c) binding the chimeric protein covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a ligand; and
- 20 (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

74. A method for screening for expression of a cloned polypeptide comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) cloning a nucleic acid segment encoding a chimeric protein into a Gram-positive bacterium to generate a cloned chimeric protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal, the chimeric protein including the polypeptide whose expression is to be screened, the sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively
10 charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine;
- (b) growing the bacterium into which the nucleic acid segment has been cloned to express the cloned chimeric protein to generate a chimeric protein including therein
15 a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal;
- (c) binding the polypeptide covalently to the cell wall by the enzymatic action of a sortase-transamidase expressed by the Gram-positive bacterium involving cleavage of the chimeric protein within the LPX₃X₄G motif so that the polypeptide is displayed on the surface of the Gram-positive bacterium in such a way that the polypeptide is accessible to a
20 ligand; and
- (d) reacting the displayed polypeptide with a labeled specific binding partner to screen the chimeric protein for reactivity with the labeled specific binding partner.

75. A method for the diagnosis or treatment of a bacterial infection caused by a Gram-positive bacterium comprising the steps of:

(a) conjugating an antibiotic or a detection reagent to a protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal to produce a conjugate, the carboxyl-terminal
5 sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty
10 naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine; and

(b) introducing the conjugate to an organism infected with a Gram-positive bacterium in order to cause the conjugate to be sorted and covalently cross-linked to the cell walls of the bacterium in order to treat or diagnose the infection.

15 76. The method of claim 75 wherein an antibiotic is conjugated to the protein.

77. The method of claim 76 wherein the antibiotic is selected from the group consisting of a penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, a cephalosporin, amikacin, kanamycin, neomycin, paromomycin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, rifampin, chloramphenicol, norfloxacin, and a derivative of these antibiotics.

20 78. The method of claim 75 wherein a detection reagent is conjugated to the protein.

79. A conjugate comprising an antibiotic or a detection reagent covalently conjugated to a protein including therein a carboxyl-terminal sorting signal to produce a conjugate, the carboxyl-terminal sorting signal having: (1) a motif of LPX₃X₄G therein; (2) a substantially hydrophobic domain of at least 31 amino acids carboxyl to the motif; and (3) a charged tail region with at least two positively charged residues carboxyl to the substantially hydrophobic domain, at least one of the two positively charged residues being arginine, the two positively charged residues being located at residues 31-33 from the motif, wherein X₃ is any of the twenty naturally-occurring L-amino acids and X₄ is selected from the group consisting of alanine, serine, and threonine.

10 80. The conjugate of claim 79 wherein an antibiotic is conjugated to the protein.

81. The conjugate of claim 80 wherein the antibiotic is selected from the group consisting of a penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, a cephalosporin, amikacin, kanamycin, neomycin, paromomycin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, 15 clindamycin, rifampin, chloramphenicol, norfloxacin, and a derivative of these antibiotics.

82. The conjugate of claim 79 wherein a detection reagent is conjugated to the protein.

83. A composition comprising:
(a) the conjugate of claim 79; and
20 (b) a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

84. A substantially purified protein having at least about 30% sequence similarity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) and having sortase-transamidase activity.

85. The substantially purified protein of claim 84 wherein the sequence similarity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) is at least about 40%.

86. The substantially purified protein of claim 85 wherein the sequence similarity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) is at least about 50%.

87. A substantially purified protein having at least about 18% sequence identity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) and having sortase-transamidase activity.

88. The substantially purified protein of claim 84 wherein the sequence identity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) is at least about 20%.

89. The substantially purified protein of claim 85 wherein the sequence identity with the amino acid sequences of at least one of *S. pyogenes* (SEQ ID NO: 4), *A. naeslundii* (SEQ. ID NO. 5), *E. faecalis* (SEQ. ID NO. 6), *S. mutans* (SEQ. ID NO. 7) *S. pneumoniae* (SEQ. ID NO. 34, SEQ. ID NO. 35, or SEQ ID NO. 36) or *B. subtilis* (SEQ. ID NO. 8) is at least about 30%.

90. A nucleic acid sequence encoding the substantially purified protein of claim 84.

91. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 90 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

92. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 91.

93. A method for producing a substantially purified protein having sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) culturing the host cell of claim 92 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the protein having sortase-transamidase activity; and

(b) purifying the expressed protein to produce substantially purified protein having sortase-transamidase activity.

94. A nucleic acid sequence encoding the substantially purified protein of claim 87.

95. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 94 operatively linked to at least one control sequence that controls the expression or regulation of the nucleic acid sequence.

96. A host cell transfected with the vector of claim 95.

97. A method for producing a substantially purified protein having sortase-transamidase activity comprising the steps of:

(a) culturing the host cell of claim 96 under conditions in which the host cell expresses the protein having sortase-transamidase activity; and

(b) purifying the expressed protein to produce substantially purified protein having sortase-transamidase activity.

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3






FIGURE 4


A



B



C






FIG. 5

FIGURE 6

ATG	AAA	AAA	TGG	ACA	AAT	CGA	TTA	ATG	ACA	ATC	GCT	GGT	GTG	GTA	CTT	ATC	CTA		
M	K	K	W	T	N	R	L	M	T	I	A	G	V	V	L	I	L	18	
GTG	GCA	GCA	TAT	TTG	TTT	GCT	AAA	CCA	CAT	ATC	GAT	AAT	TAT	CTT	CAC	GAT	AAA		
V	A	A	Y	L	F	A	K	P	H	I	D	N	Y	L	H	D	K	36	
GAT	AAA	GAT	GAA	AAG	ATT	GAA	CAA	TAT	GAT	AAA	AAT	GTA	AAA	GAA	CAG	GCG	AGT		
D	K	D	E	K	I	E	Q	Y	D	K	N	V	K	E	Q	A	S	54	
AAA	GAT	AAA	AAG	CAG	CAA	GCT	AAA	CCT	CAA	ATT	CCG	AAA	GAT	AAA	TCG	AAA	GTG		
K	D	K	K	Q	Q	A	K	P	Q	I	P	K	D	K	S	K	V	72	
GCA	GGC	TAT	ATT	GAA	ATT	CCA	GAT	GCT	GAT	ATT	AAA	GAA	CCA	GTA	TAT	CCA	GGA		
A	G	Y	I	E	I	P	D	A	D	I	K	E	P	V	Y	P	G	90	
CCA	GCA	ACA	CCT	GAA	CAA	TTA	AAT	AGA	GGT	GTA	AGC	TTT	GCA	GAA	GAA	AAT	GAA		
P	A	T	P	E	Q	L	N	R	G	V	S	F	A	E	E	N	E	108	
TCA	CTA	GAT	GAT	CAA	AAT	ATT	TCA	ATT	GCA	GGA	CAC	ACT	TTC	ATT	GAC	CGT	CCG		
S	L	D	D	Q	N	I	S	I	A	G	H	T	F	I	D	R	P	126	
AAC	TAT	CAA	TTT	ACA	AAT	CTT	AAA	GCA	GCC	AAA	AAA	GGT	AGT	ATG	GTG	TAC	TTT		
N	Y	Q	F	T	N	L	K	A	A	K	K	G	S	M	V	Y	F	144	
AAA	GTT	GGT	AAT	GAA	ACA	CGT	AAG	TAT	AAA	ATG	ACA	AGT	ATA	AGA	GAT	GTT	AAG		
K	V	G	N	E	T	R	K	Y	K	M	T	S	I	R	D	V	K	162	
CCT	ACA	GAT	GTA	GGA	GTT	CTA	GAT	GAA	CAA	AAA	GGT	AAA	GAT	AAA	CAA	TTA	ACA		
P	T	D	V	G	V	L	D	E	Q	K	G	K	D	K	Q	L	T	180	
TTA	ATT	ACT	TGT	GAT	GAT	TAC	AAT	GAA	AAG	ACA	GGC	GTT	TGG	GAA	AAA	CGT	AAA		
L	I	T	C	D	D	Y	N	E	K	T	G	V	W	E	K	R	K	198	
ATC	TTT	GTA	GCT	ACA	GAA	GTC	AAA	TAA	(SEQ. ID NO. 2)										
I	F	V	A	T	E	V	K	*	(SEQ. ID NO. 3)									206	

FIGURE 7

	1	15	30	45	60	75	90	
1 Anei	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	65
2 Spyo	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	29
3 Efea	MKSKKKRRIIDGPHILLIIGIGAFAYPFVSDALNNYLDQOIIAHYQAKASQE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	87
4 Bsub	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	54
5 Smut	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	70
6 Saur	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	52
1 Anei	AGSSKSSLOYANIEKANNEGEMHAKK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	151
2 Spyo	IHD-KN-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	110
3 Efea	OKTTTKP-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	167
4 Bsub	TDOAKN-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	129
5 Smut	KVESTSTQSVLAAQMAAKLPFGGAAH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	157
6 Saur	ASKDKKQ-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	135
1 Anei	UKTESSSLVEVFGVLTWRTSTEV	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	217
2 Spyo	UKKEDTEKERVLNKVLAKVDQDUT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	196
3 Efea	UKKEDTEKERVLNKVLAKVDQDUT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	253
4 Bsub	EKKEDOLREDESYGEEDEEVKTKI	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	198
5 Smut	AKESMEIYLDKNKVTVESEVNT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	242
6 Saur	AKESMEIYLDKNKVTVESEVNT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	206
1 Anei	WNAVGLAAGLIVVGLYLWRSGYAAARAKERALARARAAQEEPPQPTWAEQRIWDDDAGVEPQRWFTDLPVPPQPMSENLALLEIAS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	327
2 Spyo	MNAEVVCAAFGVVIAIILVFIYSRVSARKSK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	227
3 Efea	LWTLLLIACALIISGFIIWYKARKKTRKPK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	284
4 Bsub	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	198
5 Smut	QISF-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	246
6 Saur	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	206
1 Anei	LSAPSGRWDDQELIDTAEIFVLDA TRPSAGTSGRTHRL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	365 (SEQ. ID NO. 5)
2 Spyo	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	227 (SEQ. ID NO. 4)
3 Efea	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	284 (SEQ. ID NO. 6)
4 Bsub	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	198 (SEQ. ID NO. 8)
5 Smut	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	246 (SEQ. ID NO. 7)
6 Saur	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	206 (SEQ. ID NO. 3)

FIGURE 8




FIGURE 9




FIGURE 10




FIGURE 11




FIGURE 12




FIGURE 13





FIGURE 14



SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Olaf Schneewind
Sarkis Mazmanian
Gwen Liu
Hung Ton-That

<120> IDENTIFICATION OF SORTASE GENE

<130> 510015.213

<160> 36

<170> FastSEQ for Windows Version 3.0

<210> 1
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Bacteria

<400> 1
Leu Pro Xaa Thr Gly
1 5

<210> 2
<211> 621
<212> DNA
<213> Staphylococcus aureus

<220>
<221> CDS
<222> (1)...(621)

<400> 2
atg aaa aaa tgg aca aat cga tta atg aca atc gct ggt gtg gta ctt 48
Met Lys Lys Trp Thr Asn Arg Leu Met Thr Ile Ala Gly Val Val Leu
1 5 10 15

atc cta gtg gca gca tat ttg ttt gct aaa cca cat atc gat aat tat 96
Ile Leu Val Ala Ala Tyr Leu Phe Ala Lys Pro His Ile Asp Asn Tyr
20 25 30

ctt cac gat aaa gat aaa gat gaa aag att gaa caa tat gat aaa aat 144
Leu His Asp Lys Asp Lys Asp Glu Lys Ile Glu Gln Tyr Asp Lys Asn
35 40 45

gta aaa gaa cag gcg agt aaa gat aaa aag cag caa gct aaa cct caa 192
Val Lys Glu Gln Ala Ser Lys Asp Lys Lys Gln Ala Lys Pro Gln
50 55 60

att ccg aaa gat aaa tcg aaa gtg gca ggc tat att gaa att cca gat 240

```

Ile Pro Lys Asp Lys Ser Lys Val Ala Gly Tyr Ile Glu Ile Pro Asp
 65              70              75              80

gct gat att aaa gaa cca gta tat cca gga cca gca aca cct gaa caa      288
Ala Asp Ile Lys Glu Pro Val Tyr Pro Gly Pro Ala Thr Pro Glu Gln
              85              90              95

tta aat aga ggt gta agc ttt gca gaa gaa aat gaa tca cta gat gat      336
Leu Asn Arg Gly Val Ser Phe Ala Glu Glu Asn Glu Ser Leu Asp Asp
              100              105              110

caa aat att tca att gca gga cac act ttc att gac cgt ccg aac tat      384
Gln Asn Ile Ser Ile Ala Gly His Thr Phe Ile Asp Arg Pro Asn Tyr
              115              120              125

caa ttt aca aat ctt aaa gca gcc aaa aaa ggt agt atg gtg tac ttt      432
Gln Phe Thr Asn Leu Lys Ala Ala Lys Lys Gly Ser Met Val Tyr Phe
              130              135              140

aaa gtt ggt aat gaa aca cgt aag tat aaa atg aca agt ata aga gat      480
Lys Val Gly Asn Glu Thr Arg Lys Tyr Lys Met Thr Ser Ile Arg Asp
              145              150              155

gtt aag cct aca gat gta gga gtt cta gat gaa caa aaa ggt aaa gat      528
Val Lys Pro Thr Asp Val Gly Val Leu Asp Glu Gln Lys Gly Lys Asp
              165              170              175

aaa caa tta aca tta att act tgt gat gat tac aat gaa aag aca ggc      576
Lys Gln Leu Thr Leu Ile Thr Cys Asp Asp Tyr Asn Glu Lys Thr Gly
              180              185              190

gtt tgg gaa aaa cgt aaa atc ttt gta gct aca gaa gtc aaa taa      621
Val Trp Glu Lys Arg Lys Ile Phe Val Ala Thr Glu Val Lys *
              195              200              205

```

```

<210> 3
<211> 206
<212> PRT
<213> Staphylococcus aureus

```

```

<400> 3
Met Lys Lys Trp Thr Asn Arg Leu Met Thr Ile Ala Gly Val Val Leu
 1              5              10              15
Ile Leu Val Ala Ala Tyr Leu Phe Ala Lys Pro His Ile Asp Asn Tyr
              20              25              30
Leu His Asp Lys Asp Lys Asp Glu Lys Ile Glu Gln Tyr Asp Lys Asn
              35              40              45
Val Lys Glu Gln Ala Ser Lys Asp Lys Lys Gln Gln Ala Lys Pro Gln
              50              55              60
Ile Pro Lys Asp Lys Ser Lys Val Ala Gly Tyr Ile Glu Ile Pro Asp
 65              70              75              80
Ala Asp Ile Lys Glu Pro Val Tyr Pro Gly Pro Ala Thr Pro Glu Gln

```

Leu	Asn	Arg	Gly	85	Val	Ser	Phe	Ala	Glu	90	Asn	Glu	Ser	Leu	95	Asp	Asp
			100						105						110		
Gln	Asn	Ile	Ser	Ile	Ala	Gly	His	Thr	Phe	Ile	Asp	Arg	Pro	Asn	Tyr		
		115					120					125					
Gln	Phe	Thr	Asn	Leu	Lys	Ala	Ala	Lys	Lys	Gly	Ser	Met	Val	Tyr	Phe		
		130				135					140						
Lys	Val	Gly	Asn	Glu	Thr	Arg	Lys	Tyr	Lys	Met	Thr	Ser	Ile	Arg	Asp		
145					150					155					160		
Val	Lys	Pro	Thr	Asp	Val	Gly	Val	Leu	Asp	Glu	Gln	Lys	Gly	Lys	Asp		
				165					170						175		
Lys	Gln	Leu	Thr	Leu	Ile	Thr	Cys	Asp	Asp	Tyr	Asn	Glu	Lys	Thr	Gly		
			180					185						190			
Val	Trp	Glu	Lys	Arg	Lys	Ile	Phe	Val	Ala	Thr	Glu	Val	Lys				
		195					200					205					

<210> 4

<211> 227

<212> PRT

<213> Streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 4

Met	Glu	Glu	Val	Trp	Gln	Lys	Ala	Lys	Ala	Tyr	Asn	Ala	Arg	Leu	Gly		
1				5					10					15			
Thr	Gln	Pro	Val	Pro	Asp	Ala	Phe	Ser	Phe	Arg	Asp	Gly	Ile	His	Asp		
			20					25					30				
Lys	Asn	Tyr	Glu	Ser	Leu	Leu	Gln	Ile	Glu	Asn	Asn	Asp	Ile	Met	Gly		
		35					40					45					
Tyr	Val	Glu	Val	Pro	Ser	Ile	Lys	Val	Thr	Leu	Pro	Ile	Tyr	His	Tyr		
	50					55				60							
Thr	Thr	Asp	Glu	Val	Leu	Thr	Lys	Gly	Ala	Gly	His	Leu	Phe	Gly	Ser		
65					70				75					80			
Ala	Leu	Pro	Val	Gly	Gly	Asp	Gly	Thr	His	Thr	Val	Ile	Ser	Ala	His		
				85					90					95			
Arg	Gly	Leu	Pro	Ser	Ala	Glu	Met	Phe	Thr	Asn	Leu	Asn	Leu	Val	Lys		
			100					105					110				
Lys	Gly	Asp	Thr	Phe	Tyr	Phe	Arg	Val	Leu	Asn	Lys	Val	Leu	Ala	Tyr		
		115					120					125					
Lys	Val	Asp	Gln	Ile	Leu	Thr	Val	Glu	Pro	Asp	Gln	Val	Thr	Ser	Leu		
	130					135					140						
Ser	Gly	Val	Met	Gly	Lys	Asp	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Leu	Val	Thr	Cys	Thr	Pro		
145					150					155					160		
Tyr	Gly	Val	Asn	Thr	Lys	Arg	Leu	Leu	Val	Arg	Gly	His	Arg	Ile	Ala		
				165					170					175			
Tyr	His	Tyr	Lys	Lys	Tyr	Gln	Gln	Ala	Lys	Lys	Ala	Met	Lys	Leu	Val		
			180					185					190				
Asp	Lys	Ser	Arg	Met	Trp	Ala	Glu	Val	Val	Cys	Ala	Ala	Phe	Gly	Val		
		195					200					205					
Val	Ile	Ala	Ile	Ile	Leu	Val	Phe	Met	Tyr	Ser	Arg	Val	Ser	Ala	Lys		
	210					215						220					
Lys	Ser	Lys															
225																	

<210> 5
 <211> 365
 <212> PRT
 <213> Actinomyces naeslundii

<400> 5
 Met Gly Leu Leu Thr Tyr Pro Thr Ala Ala Ser Trp Val Ser Gln Tyr
 1 5 10 15
 Asn Gln Ser Lys Val Thr Ala Asp Tyr Ser Ala Gln Val Asp Gly Ala
 20 25 30
 Arg Pro Asp Ala Lys Thr Gln Val Glu Gln Ala His Ala Tyr Asn Asp
 35 40 45
 Ala Leu Ser Ala Gly Ala Val Leu Glu Ala Asn Asn His Val Pro Thr
 50 55 60
 Gly Ala Gly Ser Ser Lys Asp Ser Ser Leu Gln Tyr Ala Asn Ile Leu
 65 70 75 80
 Lys Ala Asn Asn Glu Gly Leu Met Ala Arg Leu Lys Ile Pro Ser Ile
 85 90 95
 Ser Leu Asp Leu Pro Val Tyr His Gly Thr Ala Asp Asp Thr Leu Leu
 100 105 110
 Lys Gly Leu Gly His Leu Glu Gly Thr Ser Leu Pro Val Gly Gly Glu
 115 120 125
 Gly Thr Arg Ser Val Ile Thr Gly His Arg Gly Leu Ala Glu Ala Thr
 130 135 140
 Met Phe Thr Asn Leu Asp Lys Val Lys Thr Gly Asp Ser Leu Ile Val
 145 150 155 160
 Glu Val Phe Gly Glu Val Leu Thr Tyr Arg Val Thr Ser Thr Lys Val
 165 170 175
 Val Glu Pro Glu Glu Thr Glu Ala Leu Arg Val Glu Glu Gly Lys Asp
 180 185 190
 Leu Leu Thr Leu Val Thr Cys Thr Pro Leu Gly Ile Asn Thr His Arg
 195 200 205
 Ile Leu Leu Thr Gly Glu Arg Ile Tyr Pro Thr Pro Ala Lys Asp Leu
 210 215 220
 Ala Ala Ala Gly Lys Arg Pro Asp Val Pro His Phe Pro Trp Trp Ala
 225 230 235 240
 Val Gly Leu Ala Ala Gly Leu Ile Val Val Gly Leu Tyr Leu Trp Arg
 245 250 255
 Ser Gly Tyr Ala Ala Ala Arg Ala Lys Glu Arg Ala Leu Ala Arg Ala
 260 265 270
 Arg Ala Ala Gln Glu Glu Pro Gln Pro Gln Thr Trp Ala Glu Gln Met
 275 280 285
 Arg Ile Trp Met Asp Asp Asp Ala Gly Val Glu Pro Gln Arg Trp Phe
 290 295 300
 Thr Asp Leu Pro Val Pro Pro Gln Pro Ser Glu Met Glu Asn Leu Ala
 305 310 315 320
 Leu Leu Glu Glu Ile Ala Ser Leu Ser Ala Pro Ser Gly Arg Trp Asp
 325 330 335
 Asp Gln Glu Leu Ile Asp Thr Ala Glu Ile Pro Val Leu Asp Ala Thr
 340 345 350
 Arg Pro Ser Ala Gly Thr Ser Gly Arg Thr His Arg Leu
 355 360 365

<210> 6
 <211> 284
 <212> PRT
 <213> Enterococcus faecalis

<400> 6
 Met Lys Ser Lys Lys Lys Arg Arg Ile Ile Asp Gly Phe Met Ile Leu
 1 5 10 15
 Leu Leu Ile Ile Gly Ile Gly Ala Phe Ala Tyr Pro Phe Val Ser Asp
 20 25 30
 Ala Leu Asn Asn Tyr Leu Asp Gln Gln Ile Ile Ala His Tyr Gln Ala
 35 40 45
 Lys Ala Ser Gln Glu Asn Thr Lys Glu Met Ala Glu Leu Gln Glu Lys
 50 55 60
 Met Glu Lys Lys Asn Gln Glu Leu Ala Lys Lys Gly Ser Asn Pro Gly
 65 70 75 80
 Leu Asp Pro Phe Ser Glu Thr Gln Lys Thr Thr Lys Lys Pro Asp Lys
 85 90 95
 Ser Tyr Phe Glu Ser His Thr Ile Gly Val Leu Thr Ile Pro Lys Ile
 100 105 110
 Asn Val Arg Leu Pro Ile Phe Asp Lys Thr Asn Ala Leu Leu Leu Glu
 115 120 125
 Lys Gly Ser Ser Leu Leu Glu Gly Thr Ser Tyr Pro Thr Gly Gly Thr
 130 135 140
 Asn Thr His Ala Val Ile Ser Gly His Arg Gly Leu Pro Gln Ala Lys
 145 150 155 160
 Leu Phe Thr Asp Leu Pro Glu Leu Lys Lys Gly Asp Glu Phe Tyr Ile
 165 170 175
 Glu Val Asn Gly Lys Thr Leu Ala Tyr Gln Val Asp Gln Ile Lys Thr
 180 185 190
 Val Glu Pro Thr Asp Thr Lys Asp Leu His Ile Glu Ser Gly Gln Asp
 195 200 205
 Leu Val Thr Leu Leu Thr Cys Thr Pro Tyr Met Ile Asn Ser His Arg
 210 215 220
 Leu Leu Val Arg Gly His Arg Ile Pro Tyr Gln Pro Glu Lys Ala Ala
 225 230 235 240
 Ala Gly Met Lys Lys Val Ala Gln Gln Gln Asn Leu Leu Leu Trp Thr
 245 250 255
 Leu Leu Leu Ile Ala Cys Ala Leu Ile Ile Ser Gly Phe Ile Ile Trp
 260 265 270
 Tyr Lys Arg Arg Lys Lys Thr Thr Arg Lys Pro Lys
 275 280

<210> 7
 <211> 246
 <212> PRT
 <213> Streptococcus mutans

<400> 7
 Met Lys Lys Glu Arg Gln Ser Arg Lys Lys Arg Ser Phe Leu Arg Thr
 1 5 10 15
 Phe Leu Pro Ile Leu Leu Leu Val Ile Gly Leu Ala Leu Ile Phe Asn
 20 25 30

Thr Pro Ile Arg Asn Ala Leu Ile Ala Trp Asn Thr Asn Arg Tyr Gln
 35 40 45
 Val Ser Asn Val Ser Lys Lys Asp Ile Glu His Asn Lys Ala Ala His
 50 55 60
 Ser Ser Phe Asp Phe Lys Lys Val Glu Ser Ile Ser Thr Gln Ser Val
 65 70 75 80
 Leu Ala Ala Gln Met Ala Ala Gln Lys Leu Pro Val Ile Gly Gly Ile
 85 90 95
 Ala Ile Pro Asp Leu Lys Ile Asn Leu Pro Ile Phe Lys Gly Leu Asp
 100 105 110
 Asn Val Gly Leu Thr Tyr Gly Ala Gly Thr Met Lys Asn Asp Gln Val
 115 120 125
 Met Gly Glu Asn Asn Tyr Ala Leu Ala Ser His His Val Phe Gly Met
 130 135 140
 Thr Gly Ser Ser Gln Met Leu Phe Ser Pro Leu Glu Arg Ala Lys Glu
 145 150 155 160
 Gly Met Glu Ile Tyr Leu Thr Asp Lys Asn Lys Val Tyr Thr Tyr Val
 165 170 175
 Ile Ser Glu Val Lys Thr Val Thr Pro Glu His Val Glu Val Ile Asp
 180 185 190
 Asn Arg Pro Gly Gln Asn Glu Val Thr Leu Val Thr Cys Thr Asp Ala
 195 200 205
 Gly Ala Thr Ala Arg Thr Ile Val His Gly Thr Tyr Lys Gly Glu Asn
 210 215 220
 Asp Phe Asn Lys Thr Ser Lys Lys Ile Lys Lys Ala Phe Arg Gln Ser
 225 230 235 240
 Tyr Asn Gln Ile Ser Phe
 245

<210> 8
 <211> 198
 <212> PRT
 <213> Bacillus subtilis

<400> 8
 Met Lys Lys Val Ile Pro Leu Phe Ile Ile Ala Ala Gly Leu Val Ile
 1 5 10 15
 Ala Gly Tyr Gly Gly Phe Lys Leu Ile Asp Thr Asn Thr Lys Thr Glu
 20 25 30
 Gln Thr Leu Lys Glu Ala Lys Leu Ala Ala Lys Lys Pro Gln Glu Ala
 35 40 45
 Ser Gly Thr Lys Asn Ser Thr Asp Gln Ala Lys Asn Lys Ala Ser Phe
 50 55 60
 Lys Pro Glu Thr Gly Gln Ala Ser Gly Ile Leu Glu Ile Pro Lys Ile
 65 70 75 80
 Asn Ala Glu Leu Pro Ile Val Glu Gly Thr Asp Ala Asp Asp Leu Glu
 85 90 95
 Lys Gly Val Gly His Tyr Lys Asp Ser Tyr Tyr Pro Asp Glu Asn Gly
 100 105 110
 Gln Ile Val Leu Ser Gly His Arg Asp Thr Val Phe Arg Arg Thr Gly
 115 120 125
 Glu Leu Glu Lys Gly Asp Gln Leu Arg Leu Leu Leu Ser Tyr Gly Glu
 130 135 140

Phe Thr Tyr Glu Ile Val Lys Thr Lys Ile Val Asp Lys Asp Asp Thr
 145 150 155 160
 Ser Ile Ile Thr Leu Gln His Glu Lys Glu Glu Leu Ile Leu Thr Thr
 165 170 175
 Cys Tyr Pro Phe Ser Tyr Val Gly Asn Ala Pro Lys Arg Tyr Ile Ile
 180 185 190
 Tyr Gly Lys Arg Val Thr
 195

<210> 9
 <211> 25
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus

<400> 9
 Glu Glu Asn Pro Phe Ile Gly Thr Thr Val Phe Gly Gly Leu Ser Leu
 1 5 10 15
 Ala Leu Gly Ala Ala Leu Leu Ala Gly
 20 25

<210> 10
 <211> 23
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus

<400> 10
 Gly Glu Glu Ser Thr Asn Lys Gly Met Leu Phe Gly Gly Leu Phe Ser
 1 5 10 15
 Ile Leu Gly Leu Ala Leu Leu
 20

<210> 11
 <211> 24
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus sobrinus

<400> 11
 Asp Ser Ser Asn Ala Tyr Leu Pro Leu Leu Gly Leu Val Ser Leu Thr
 1 5 10 15
 Ala Gly Phe Ser Leu Leu Gly Leu
 20

<210> 12
 <211> 24
 <212> PRT
 <213> Enterococcus faecalis

<400> 12
 Glu Lys Gln Asn Val Leu Leu Thr Val Val Gly Ser Leu Ala Ala Met
 1 5 10 15
 Leu Gly Leu Ala Gly Leu Gly Phe
 20

<210> 13
 <211> 23
 <212> PRT
 <213> Streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 13
 Ser Ile Gly Thr Tyr Leu Phe Lys Ile Gly Ser Ala Ala Met Ile Gly
 1 5 10 15
 Ala Ile Gly Ile Tyr Ile Val
 20

<210> 14
 <211> 22
 <212> PRT
 <213> Listeria monocytogenes

<400> 14
 Asp Ser Asp Asn Ala Leu Tyr Leu Leu Leu Gly Leu Leu Ala Val Gly
 1 5 10 15
 Thr Ala Met Ala Leu Thr
 20

<210> 15
 <211> 5
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus

<400> 15
 Arg Arg Arg Glu Leu
 1 5

<210> 16
 <211> 9
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus

<400> 16
 Arg Arg Asn Lys Lys Asn His Lys Ala
 1 5

<210> 17
 <211> 5
 <212> PRT
 <213> Staphylococcus sobrinus

<400> 17
 Arg Arg Lys Gln Asp
 1 5

<210> 18
 <211> 7
 <212> PRT
 <213> Enterococcus faecalis

<400> 18
Lys Arg Arg Lys Glu Thr Lys
1 5

<210> 19
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 19
Lys Arg Arg Lys Ala
1 5

<210> 20
<211> 8
<212> PRT
<213> Actinomyces viscosus

<400> 20
Lys Arg Arg His Val Ala Lys His
1 5

<210> 21
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Streptococcus agalactiae

<400> 21
Lys Arg Arg Lys Ser
1 5

<210> 22
<211> 6
<212> PRT
<213> Streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 22
Lys Arg Lys Glu Glu Asn
1 5

<210> 23
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 23
Arg Arg Arg Glu Ser
1 5

<210> 24
<211> 5
<212> PRT

<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 24
Arg Arg Arg Ser Leu
1 5

<210> 25
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 25
Arg Arg Ser Glu Leu
1 5

<210> 26
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 26
Arg Ser Arg Glu Leu
1 5

<210> 27
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 27
Ser Arg Arg Glu Leu
1 5

<210> 28
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 28
Arg Arg Ser Ser Ser
1 5

<210> 29
<211> 5
<212> PRT
<213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes

<400> 29
Arg Ser Arg Ser Ser
1 5

<210> 30
<211> 5

<212> PRT
 <213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes
 <400> 30
 Ser Arg Arg Ser Ser
 1 5
 <210> 31
 <211> 19
 <212> PRT
 <213> Mutated derived from streptococcus pyogenes
 <400> 31
 His His His His His His Ala Gln Ala Leu Glu Pro Thr Gly Glu Glu
 1 5 10 15
 Asn Pro Phe
 <210> 32
 <211> 29
 <212> DNA
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus
 <400> 32
 aaggattcaa aaggagcggg atacattgc 29
 <210> 33
 <211> 29
 <212> DNA
 <213> Staphylococcus aureus
 <400> 33
 aaggatccta ccttttcttc tagctgaac 29
 <210> 34
 <211> 283
 <212> PRT
 <213> Streptococcus pneumoniae srtA
 <400> 34
 Met Ser Arg Thr Lys Leu Arg Ala Leu Leu Gly Tyr Leu Leu Met Leu
 1 5 10 15
 Val Ala Cys Leu Ile Pro Ile Tyr Cys Phe Gly Gln Met Val Leu Gln
 20 25 30
 Ser Leu Gly Gln Val Lys Gly His Ala Thr Phe Val Lys Ser Met Thr
 35 40 45
 Thr Glu Met Tyr Gln Glu Gln Asn His Ser Leu Ala Tyr Asn Gln
 50 55 60
 Arg Leu Ala Ser Gln Asn Arg Ile Val Asp Pro Phe Leu Ala Glu Gly
 65 70 75 80
 Tyr Glu Val Asn Tyr Gln Val Ser Asp Asp Pro Asp Ala Val Tyr Gly
 85 90 95
 Tyr Leu Ser Ile Pro Ser Leu Glu Ile Met Glu Pro Val Tyr Leu Gly

```

      100      105      110
Ala Asp Tyr His His Leu Gly Met Gly Leu Ala His Val Asp Gly Thr
      115      120      125
Pro Leu Pro Leu Asp Gly Thr Gly Ile Arg Ser Val Ile Ala Gly His
      130      135      140
Arg Ala Glu Pro Ser His Val Phe Phe Arg His Leu Asp Gln Leu Lys
      145      150      155
Val Gly Asp Ala Leu Tyr Tyr Asp Asn Gly Gln Glu Ile Val Glu Tyr
      165      170      175
Gln Met Met Asp Thr Glu Ile Ile Leu Pro Ser Glu Trp Glu Lys Leu
      180      185      190
Glu Ser Val Ser Ser Lys Asn Ile Met Thr Leu Ile Thr Cys Asp Pro
      195      200      205
Ile Pro Thr Phe Asn Lys Arg Leu Leu Val Asn Phe Glu Arg Val Ala
      210      215      220
Val Tyr Gln Lys Ser Asp Pro Gln Thr Ala Ala Val Ala Arg Val Ala
      225      230      235
Phe Thr Lys Glu Gly Gln Ser Val Ser Arg Val Ala Thr Ser Gln Trp
      245      250      255
Leu Tyr Arg Gly Leu Val Val Leu Ala Phe Leu Gly Ile Leu Phe Val
      260      265      270
Leu Trp Lys Leu Ala Arg Leu Leu Arg Gly Lys
      275      280

```

```

<210> 35
<211> 296
<212> PRT
<213> Streptococcus pneumoniae srtB

```

```

<400> 35
Met Asp Asn Ser Arg Arg Ser Arg Lys Lys Gly Thr Lys Lys Lys Lys
 1      5      10      15
His Pro Leu Ile Leu Leu Leu Ile Phe Leu Val Gly Phe Ala Val Ala
      20      25      30
Ile Tyr Pro Leu Val Ser Arg Tyr Tyr Tyr Arg Ile Ser Asn Glu Val
      35      40      45
Ile Lys Glu Phe Asp Glu Thr Val Ser Gln Met Asp Lys Ala Glu Leu
      50      55      60
Glu Glu Arg Trp Arg Leu Ala Gln Ala Phe Asn Ala Thr Leu Lys Pro
      65      70      75      80
Ser Glu Ile Leu Asp Pro Phe Thr Glu Gln Glu Lys Lys Lys Gly Val
      85      90      95
Ser Glu Tyr Ala Asn Met Leu Lys Val His Glu Arg Ile Gly Tyr Val
      100      105      110
Glu Ile Pro Ala Ile Asp Gln Glu Ile Pro Met Tyr Val Gly Thr Ser
      115      120      125
Glu Asp Ile Leu Gln Lys Gly Ala Gly Leu Leu Glu Gly Ala Ser Leu
      130      135      140
Pro Val Gly Gly Glu Asn Thr His Thr Val Ile Thr Ala His Arg Gly
      145      150      155      160
Leu Pro Thr Ala Glu Leu Phe Ser Gln Leu Asp Lys Met Lys Lys Gly
      165      170      175
Asp Ile Phe Tyr Leu His Val Leu Asp Gln Val Leu Ala Tyr Gln Val

```

Asp	Gln	Ile	180	Val	Thr	Val	Glu	Pro	185	Asn	Asp	Phe	Glu	Pro	190	Val	Leu	Ile
		195						200						205				
Gln	His	Gly	Glu	Asp	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Leu	Leu	Thr	Cys	Thr	Pro	Tyr	Met			
	210					215					220							
Ile	Asn	Ser	His	Arg	Leu	Leu	Val	Arg	Gly	Lys	Arg	Ile	Pro	Tyr	Thr			
225					230					235					240			
Ala	Pro	Ile	Ala	Glu	Arg	Asn	Arg	Ala	Val	Arg	Glu	Arg	Gly	Gln	Phe			
			245						250					255				
Trp	Leu	Trp	Leu	Leu	Leu	Gly	Ala	Met	Ala	Val	Ile	Leu	Leu	Leu	Leu			
			260					265					270					
Tyr	Arg	Val	Tyr	Arg	Asn	Arg	Arg	Ile	Val	Lys	Gly	Leu	Glu	Lys	Gln			
	275					280						285						
Leu	Glu	Gly	Arg	His	Val	Lys	Asp											
	290					295												

<210> 36
 <211> 304
 <212> PRT
 <213> Streptococcus pneumoniae srtC

Met	Leu	Ile	Lys	Met	Val	Lys	Thr	Lys	Lys	Gln	Lys	Arg	Asn	Asn	Leu			
1			5					10					15					
Leu	Leu	Gly	Val	Val	Phe	Phe	Ile	Gly	Met	Ala	Val	Met	Ala	Tyr	Pro			
			20					25					30					
Leu	Val	Ser	Arg	Leu	Tyr	Tyr	Arg	Val	Glu	Ser	Asn	Gln	Gln	Ile	Ala			
		35					40					45						
Asp	Phe	Asp	Lys	Glu	Lys	Ala	Thr	Leu	Asp	Glu	Ala	Asp	Ile	Asp	Glu			
	50					55					60							
Arg	Met	Lys	Leu	Ala	Gln	Ala	Phe	Asn	Asp	Ser	Leu	Asn	Asn	Val	Val			
65					70					75				80				
Ser	Gly	Asp	Pro	Trp	Ser	Glu	Glu	Met	Lys	Lys	Lys	Gly	Arg	Ala	Glu			
			85					90						95				
Tyr	Ala	Arg	Met	Leu	Glu	Ile	His	Glu	Arg	Met	Gly	His	Val	Glu	Ile			
			100					105					110					
Pro	Val	Ile	Asp	Val	Asp	Leu	Pro	Val	Tyr	Ala	Gly	Thr	Ala	Glu	Glu			
		115					120					125						
Val	Leu	Gln	Gln	Gly	Ala	Gly	His	Leu	Glu	Gly	Thr	Ser	Leu	Pro	Ile			
	130					135					140							
Gly	Gly	Asn	Ser	Thr	His	Ala	Val	Ile	Thr	Ala	His	Thr	Gly	Leu	Pro			
145					150					155				160				
Thr	Ala	Lys	Met	Phe	Thr	Asp	Leu	Thr	Lys	Leu	Lys	Val	Gly	Asp	Lys			
			165					170					175					
Phe	Tyr	Val	His	Asn	Ile	Lys	Glu	Val	Met	Ala	Tyr	Gln	Val	Asp	Gln			
			180					185					190					
Val	Lys	Val	Ile	Glu	Pro	Thr	Asn	Phe	Asp	Asp	Leu	Leu	Ile	Val	Pro			
		195					200					205						
Gly	His	Asp	Tyr	Val	Thr	Leu	Leu	Thr	Cys	Thr	Pro	Tyr	Met	Ile	Asn			
	210					215					220							
Thr	His	Arg	Leu	Leu	Val	Arg	Gly	His	Arg	Ile	Pro	Tyr	Val	Ala	Glu			
225					230					235				240				
Val	Glu	Glu	Glu	Phe	Ile	Ala	Ala	Asn	Lys	Leu	Ser	His	Leu	Tyr	Arg			

				245				250					255			
Tyr	Leu	Phe	Tyr	Val	Ala	Val	Gly	Leu	Ile	Val	Ile	Leu	Leu	Trp	Ile	
			260					265					270			
Ile	Arg	Arg	Leu	Arg	Lys	Lys	Lys	Lys	Gln	Pro	Glu	Lys	Ala	Leu	Lys	
			275				280					285				
Ala	Leu	Lys	Ala	Ala	Arg	Lys	Glu	Val	Lys	Val	Glu	Asp	Gly	Gln	Gln	
	290					295					300					

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 17(2)(a), Rules 13ter and 39)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 510015-213WO	IMPORTANT DECLARATION	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07 SEP 2000
International application No. PCT/US00/10198	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 APRIL 2000	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 15 APRIL 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Please See Continuation Sheet.		
Applicant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		

This International Searching Authority hereby declares, according to Article 17(2)(a), that no international search report will be established on the international application for the reasons indicated below.

1. ☐ The subject matter of the international application relates to:
 - a. ☐ scientific theories.
 - b. ☐ mathematical theories.
 - c. ☐ plant varieties.
 - d. ☐ animal varieties.
 - e. ☐ essentially biological processes for the production of plants and animals, other than microbiological processes and the products of such processes.
 - f. ☐ schemes, rules or methods of doing business.
 - g. ☐ schemes, rules or methods of performing purely mental acts.
 - h. ☐ schemes, rules or methods of playing games.
 - i. ☐ methods for treatment of the human body by surgery or therapy.
 - j. ☐ methods for treatment of the animal body by surgery or therapy.
 - k. ☐ diagnostic methods practiced on the human or animal body.
 - l. ☐ mere presentations of information.
 - m. ☐ computer programs for which this International Searching Authority is not equipped to search prior art.
2. ☐ The failure of the following parts of the international application to comply with prescribed requirements prevents a meaningful search from being carried out:

☐ the description
 ☐ the claims
 ☐ the drawings
3. ☒ The failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions prevents a meaningful search from being carried out.

☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
 ☒ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
4. Further comments:

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer <div style="text-align: center;"> MARK NAVARRO </div> Telephone No. (703) 308-1295
---	--

**DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF
INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.
PCT/US00/10198

The International Patent Classification (IPC) or National Classification and IPC are as listed below:

IPC(7): A61K 39/00; C07H 21/02; C07K 1/00, 16/00; C12N 5/00, 9/00, 15/00, 15/09; G01N 33/53 US CL.:
424/184.1; 435/7.1, 69.3, 183, 320.1, 325; 530/350, 387.1; 536/23.1

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.